

*Elio Provasi*

---

## Wanderers and Prophets in the Caucasus

*fæzzaruncæ 'j xonx, buduri,  
Jiræ ma Digoræ dæ nom.  
Gurdžibeti Blaškæ*

To Vasilij Ivanovič Abaev  
with deep respect

It is a well-known fact that the languages of the Caucasus possess, in a greater or lesser degree, a fair amount of lexical elements of Iranian origin, due to longstanding contacts with speakers of Iranian languages, in particular Scytho-Alano-Sarmatian languages/dialects and Western Middle and New Iranian languages. Among the latter, those which have exerted the greatest lexical influence on Caucasian languages have been first of all Parthian and Middle Persian, followed by New Persian and lesser languages like Tāti.

In many of his publications, and especially in his etymological dictionary of Ossetic, Prof. Abaev has considered innumerable examples of lexical exchanges which involve both Iranian and Caucasian languages. It suffices to peruse the Index to his etymological dictionary of Ossetic<sup>1</sup> to realise the wealth of information on Caucasian languages included therewith.

Of all the lexical material of Iranian origin occurring in Caucasian languages, the Iranian borrowings in Georgian, which belong to different chronological strata and which entered

Georgian either directly or sometimes through Armenian, have received the greatest attention. Beside the monograph by Mzia Andronikašvili (*Narkvevebi*), published in 1966, there is now the recent and very thorough investigation on about 50 of the most ancient Iranian loanwords in Georgian (and Armenian) by Jost Gippert (Gippert 1993).

Iranian borrowings in Caucasian have been studied among others by H. W. Bailey in various articles (e.g. Bailey 1975, Bailey 1992) and under many lemmata in his Khotanese dictionary (*Dict.Kh.Saka*). Lexical elements of Scytho-Alanian origin in North-Central and North-Eastern Caucasian have been examined by R. Bielmeier (Bielmeier 1989)<sup>2</sup> and K. Š. Mikailov (Mikailov 1970).

I shall consider hereafter a series of interconnected words, spread all over the Caucasus and beyond, and whose Iranian origin has been usually ascribed to, or in some way connected with some form of Persian *āvār(a)*, trying to trace the routes through which they spread in the languages of the area.

In New Persian there exists a word *āvāra* “lost, annihilated; ruined, scattered; destitute of name or character; an exile, outcast, vagabond, vagrant; [...] oppression, injustice; bane, ruin, desolation” [Steingass 117], with a quasi-synonym *āvār* “injustice, iniquity; oppression; [...] ruined, depopulated; a vagrant, outcast, exile” [Steingass 117]. Thus, the primary meaning of *āvāra* seems to be an adjectival one (“lost, annihilated; ruined, scattered; destitute of name or character”) or, as a substantive referring to persons, “an exile, outcast, vagabond, vagrant”), and the secondary one an abstract noun (“oppression, injustice; bane, ruin, desolation”), while for *āvār* the contrary seems to be true.

The same word (in the form with final -*a* and with the general meaning “vagabond”) occurs in other New Iranian languages, where it is almost certainly a loan from Persian, e.g. Kurdish *awaræ* “vagabond” [Kurdoev-Jusupova 42], Tališ *aværæ*, *oværæ* “vagabond” [Pirejko 19], Gilaki *āvārə* “vagabond” [KMR 37], Pashto *āwārā* “vagabond; wayfarer” [Aslanov 83], Waxī *oworā*, *aworā* “wayfarer, exile, vagabond” [*Vax.jaz.* 408], and

maybe (in the form without *-a*) also Brahui *awār* and Balōčī *əwar* [Rossi 61]. Also from Persian the word entered Urdu and other North Indian languages, cf. Urdu *avarə* “wandering; homeless; abandoned; idle; profligate; dishonest; vagabond; idler; libertine; cheater” [Ansari 88], Panjabi *əvarə* “vagabond” [Bailey, *Panj.* 130; Iršād 61], Sindhi *avaro* “vagabond” [Mewaram 426], as well as, near the other end of the Persian-speaking world, in modern East Syriac *āwārā / awāra/* “vagabond” [Maclean 6; Macuch 4] (from Persian or Kurdish).

In Caucasian languages, the same borrowing from Persian occurs as an abstract noun (cf. the meanings “oppression” and “ruin” of Pers. *āvāra* above) in Avar *awára* “obstacle, impediment, hindrance” [Saidov 25] and Lak *awara* “rebellion, confusion, riot, disorder” (with the adjectival derivative *awaras:a* “restless, worried, upset, fretful” [Xajdakov 1962: 26]), both probably through Kumyk (cf. below) while it is used as an adjective and/or noun referring to persons in Lezgi *awara* “idle; vagabond” [Talibov–Gadžiev 29], Budux *awara* “vagabond” [Mejlanova 16], Udi *awara* “vagabond, idle” [Gukasjan 33], Georgian *avara* “vagabond” [Tschenkeli 6].

In the Turkic languages spoken in or near the Caucasus we have, as an abstract noun, Kumyk *awara* “worry, care” [Bammatov 24] and Nogay *awara* “business, cares; disorder” [Baskakov 20] and, as an adjective, Azeri *avara* “idler; vagabond” [Azizbekov 15], Ottoman Turkish *avara* “loose, free-running; useless, fruitless”, *āvāre* “idle, out of work; exiled, vacant, wandering” [Redhouse 96], and, with a divergent meaning, Anatolian Turkish *avara* “poor, infertile soil; bad, weak; inactive, idle” [Tietze 1967: 135]; in other Turkic languages this word usually functions as an adjective, cf. Turkmen *āvāra* “vagabond; wayfarer, pilgrim” [BKK 17], Uzbek *əwāra* “busy” [Abduraxmanov 221], Uygur *awarä* “restless, worried; busy” [Nadžip 54].

On Caucasian soil, we can compare with the Persian form without final *-a* also Armenian *awar* “prey, booty” [Hübschmann 112] and Georgian *iavari, avari* “booty” [*Narkvevebi* 206, 331-332].

NPers *āvāra* “scattered” was traced back by Horn to \*ā- + *bar-* [Horn 13, who cites also the Mandaean loanword *ȝwȝr*], a view accepted by Andronikašvili [*Narkvevbi* 331-332]<sup>3</sup>.

A Middle Iranian form which can be compared with Persian *āwār* is Inscriptional Parthian *ȝwȝr* “booty” (cf. Gignoux 48), attested in the phrase *pty ȝwȝr* “as booty” (lit. “in pillage”), which corresponds to MPers Inscr. *PWN ȝdȝly* and to Greek ἐν ἀπαγῆ in Šāpūr’s inscription at the Kačba-yi Zardušt (*W mr-thwmk MH MN prwmyn hštr MN ȝnyȝryȝn* (16) *pty ȝwȝr HYTYt* [ŠKZ pa. 15-16] = [*ȝP*]n *ȝNSWT* *MH MN hrwmȝdyk štry* ... [*ȝnyr*]n *PWN ȝdȝly* (21) *HYTYW* [ŠKZ mp. 20-21] = ΚΑΙ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΥΣ ΤΟΥΣ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΕΘΝΟΥΣ ΡΩΜΑΙΩΝ ΑΠΟ ΑΝΑΠΙΑΝΩΝ ΕΝ ΑΡΠΑΓΗ ΗΓΑΓΑΜΕΝ [ŠKZ gr. 34], cf. Back 324-325). As Gignoux, who refers to Zoroastrian Middle Persian *ȝdwȝl*<sup>4</sup>, suggests, MPers. *ȝdȝly* is possibly an error for *ȝd<w>ȝly*<sup>5</sup> (Gignoux 15), hence possibly connected with two uncertain forms cited by Sundermann, viz. Manichaean Middle Persian (*y)wȝry(h*) (in *M 1009* 1.II.8, cf. *Parabeltexte* 25 and 119 “Raub, Plünderung”) and Manichaean Parthian *ȝjwȝr* (in *M 183* I.R.5, cf. *Parabeltexte* 62 and 118 “Raub”); on the other hand, Back suggests tentatively *PWN \*ȝpȝry* or *PWN \*ȝpry* (Back 177).

For Inscriptional Parthian *ȝwȝr* (phonologically /awwār/?), which cannot be separated from Armenian *awar*, Back reconstructs an Old Iranian form \**ava-bāra-* (Back 177), with preverb \**ava-*, rather than \*ā-, as suggested by Horn. This agrees better with the meaning “to take away” (rather than “here”). Hence, the ancestor of Persian *āwār(a)*<sup>6</sup> can with a certain confidence be reconstructed, via MIr. \**awwār(ag)*, as OIr. \**ava-bāra(-ka)-*.

A different, but evidently in some way related, form, which is spread almost all over the Caucasian area, shows a final velar obstruent (-k/-g).

In North-West Caucasian we have Kabardian *ābrag'* “a runaway who has committed some crime; abrek” [Kardanov 13; Šagirov I: 56], Abxaz *abrag'* [Šagirov I: 56], Abaza *abrag'* “abrék” [Tugov 29], and probably also the Ubyx proper name *Abrag'*

[Vogt 235]. In Southern Caucasian there is Georgian *abragi* “partisan who lives in the mountains and fights against the king's dominion; robber, bandit” (*Narkveebi* 76)<sup>7</sup>, “abrek; bandit” [Tschenkéli 2], with a derivative *abragoba* “highway robbery” [Tschenkéli 2], with a by-form *abraki* [*IÉSOJa I*: 25-26], Mingrelian *abragi* and Svan *ambregi* [*Narkveebi* 76]. To these we can compare the forms occurring in Turkic languages of the Caucasus: Karaçay *abrek* [Pröhle 1909: 84, Schmidt 1933: 466-67]<sup>8</sup>, Balkar *abrek* [Šaumjan 1935: 70] “bandit, robber” and Kumyk *abrek* “abrék; temerarious” [Bammatov 24].

This form has been borrowed by Russian (*abrék* “mountaineer, bandit” [Vasmer I: 57]) from some Caucasian language (according to Vasmer from Circassian).

Besides these forms of type *abrag* ~ *abreg*, there are others where the labial consonant and *r* are separated by a vowel. We can consider first of all Ossetian (Iron) *abæræg*, (Digor) *abæreg*, *abæræg* “robber, bandit” [*IÉSOJa I*: 25-26], which Abaev plausibly considers a borrowing from some adjacent Caucasian language. Then there is the Georgian (Xevsurian) proper name *A-pareka* which Andronikašvili derives from Ossetian *abyræg* | *abæræg*; this is however contradicted by the two ejective stops *p̥* and *k* in the Georgian form, as against the voiced *b* and *g* in Ossetian.

For the meaning “abrek” there is in Avar the form *abúrik* “abrék, man who parts from the community and lives exclusively by war and robbery”, with the derivative *abúrikli* “the quality of being an abrek” [Uslar 1889: 35], which is particularly interesting for its vocalism, if one compares it with Georgian *Apareka*. Besides *abúrik*, there is in Avar another word *apárag* “vagabond” [Uslar 1889: 40], which seems to be employed in the modern literary language only with the meaning “newcomer, foreigner” [Saidov 34]; cf. also the saying: *apáragas:ul rúq: rósó raeálda* “the newcomer's house is on the edge of the village” [Saidov *ibid.*].

In the Kaxib dialect of Avar there is moreover the feminine proper name *Awarage*<sup>9</sup> [Mikailov, *Dial.* 413], which seems to be in some way connected; the latter is from \**awarag-a-y*<sup>10</sup>, with

feminine class index -y, and shows a formation similar to Hidatl proper names in -o (m.), -e (f.), formally substantivized adjectives, which are in origin patronymics [Mikailov, *Dial.* 377-378].

In Turkic Čuvaš there is a word *apärša* “unfortunate, unhappy, homeless person whose behaviour is bad; gossip” [Scherner 118]. Scherner refuses (wrongly, it seems to me) Ašmarin’s etymology, from Persian *āvāra*, and proposes instead Russian *obóryš*. The Čuvaš form could on the contrary be connected with some Middle Iranian form, and the final /š/ of Čuvaš could be a trace of the Middle Iranian final velar obstruent<sup>11</sup>.

All these words can be connected with Middle Iranian forms where intervocalic *p*, being geminated, has not undergone lenition; cf. Zoroastrian Middle Persian <sup>2</sup>*pl* /appar/ “robbery, theft, plundering, pillage”, <sup>2</sup>*p<sup>2</sup>l* /appār/ “taken away, removed”, <sup>2</sup>*p<sup>2</sup>lyh* /appārih/ “removal, loss, deprivation”, <sup>2</sup>*plg* /apparag/ “robber”, *YHNCLWN* /appurdan/, pres. stem <sup>2</sup>*pl-* /appar-/ “steal”, <sup>2</sup>*plšn<sup>1</sup>* /apparišn/ “stealing, theft” (*Pahl.Dict.* 10; Nyberg, *Gloss.* 28). Nyberg derives these forms from \**apa-par-*, with the transitive root \**par-*<sup>12</sup> “to carry”; together with the Armenian loan-word *apur* “booty” from \**apa-prna-* [Nyberg, *Gloss.* 28].

In the Iranian texts from Turfan we have Manichaean Middle Persian <sup>2</sup>*pwr-* /ap(p)ur-/ “wegtragen”, <sup>2</sup>*pwrd* /ap(p)urd/ “ge-raubt”, <sup>2</sup>*pr* /ap(p)ar/ “Raub; räuberisch”, with the comparative <sup>2</sup>*prdr* and the abstract noun <sup>2</sup>*pryy* and a *ka*-derivative <sup>2</sup>*prgyy* /ap(p)arayē/ [?]<sup>13</sup>, plural <sup>2</sup>*pr<sup>2</sup>n* “robbers” (Henning 1947: 46) (e.g. in *(q)dg wysp<sup>2</sup>n xyšmyn* <sup>2</sup>*pr<sup>2</sup>n* “all the wrathful ‘robbers’ of the house” *M 781* ii V.5 (= I 45 in Henning 1947: 40) and also in *M 100* V.II.1.17 (= 764 in *Parabeltexte* 40)) and Manichaean Parthian <sup>2</sup>*pr* /appar/ “predatory, thievish” (cf. *Word-List* 13), e.g. in <sup>2</sup>*wh w<sup>2</sup>cnyd kw dyw* <sup>2</sup>*yw b(zk)Ir* /<sup>2</sup>*wt* <sup>2</sup>*pr* (4) *bwd* “they tell that there was an evil and predatory demon” *M 6470* R.3-4 (= 1830-31 in *Parabeltexte* 94).

To the same form \**apa-bar-* can be connected Khotanese *pu-da-*, *pūda-* “removed, freed from” [*Dict.Kh.Saka* 243].

Thus, also Zoroastrian Middle Persian  $\beta pr$  is probably /appurr/ < \*apa-prna-, while  $\beta p\beta r$  goes back to \*apa-bara- (cf. Back 177).

The Avar form *abúrik*, discussed above, could then go back to a Middle Iranian prototype \*appurīg (< \*apa-prn-iya-ka-), with adjectival -īg. The voicing of *p* could be an Avar phenomenon; according to Mikailov, voicing of /p/ in initial position is a characteristic of Southern Avar dialects (Mikailov, *Fon.* 46-47), e.g. North Avar *piq*; South Avar *biχ* “fruit”, or N. Av. *purúc*; S. Av. *burus* “wooden plough”. In northern dialects (in particular Xunzax, the basis of the literary language), there is on the contrary a tendency to reduce /p/ to a laryngeal spirant<sup>14</sup>, e.g. Xunzax *holoh*, literary Avar *polóp* “foam”, Xunzax *her*, lit. *per* “onion” (Mikailov, *Dial.* 484; cf. also Mikailov, *Fon.* 44-45). This spirantization occurs also in loanwords, e.g. Xunz. *tuhan*, lit. Av. *tupán* “flood” (Gudava 36).

To this purpose we can cite two other forms from North-Central Caucasian (Nax), which have not hitherto received the attention they deserve: Čečen *obarg* [Maciev 320] and Inguš *ebarg* “abrek” [Ozdoev 19].

Let us examine in some detail the different vowel patterns of these two words.

A historical rule operates in Čečen and Inguš, according to which an /a/ in the first, stressed syllable of the word<sup>15</sup>, becomes respectively rounded or fronted according to whether the vowel of the second syllable was /u/ or /i/ (which then usually merge into /a/ [ə]). This regressive vowel assimilation occurs regularly in autochthonous words.

Before a syllable containing (historical) /i/, Proto-Nax short \*a becomes /e/, both in Čečen and Inguš, e.g. \*karlin “new” > Čeč. *kerla* [Maciev 215], Ing. *kerda* [Ozdoev 389], Čeč. (Čeberlo dialect) *karlī* [Imnaišvili 72]; \*palig “finger” > Čeč. *pelg* [Maciev 354], Ing. *pelg* [Ozdoev 460], Čeč. (Čeberlo dialect) *palig*, Čeč. (Šaro and Wedana) *pelig* [Imnaišvili 73]; in the same environment long \*ā becomes Čečen ē (ä), Inguš ä, e.g. \*eäržin “black” > Čeč. *eäärža* [Maciev 555], Ing. *eäärža* [Ozdoev 789], Čeč. (Xil-

dikaro and Wedana) *εεržt̄*, Čeč. (Čeberlo) *žarži* [Imnaišvili 76]; \**lāči* “hawk” > Čeč. *lēča* [Maciev 279], Ing. *lāča* [Ozdoev 681], Čeč. (Plains and Wedana) *lēči*, Čeč. (Čeberlo) *lāči* [Imnaišvili 75]; \**šāqı* “ink” > Čeč. *šeqa* [Maciev 513], Ing. *šāqa(s)* [Ozdoev 788], Čeč. (Plains and Wedana) *šeqi*, Čeč. (Čeberlo) *šāqi* [Imnaišvili 75]<sup>16</sup>.

Before a syllable containing (historical) /u/, Proto-Nax short \**a* becomes /o/, e.g. \**laχun* “low” > Čeč. *loχa* [Maciev 282], Ing. *loχa* [Ozdoev 386], Čeč. (Čeberlo) *laχū* [Imnaišvili 81], Bats *laχū* [Imnaišvili 118]; \**dayu* “rain” > Čeč. *doya* [Maciev 152], Ing. *doya* [Ozdoev 160], Čeč. (Čeberlo) *dayu* [Imnaišvili 81]; in the same environment long \**ā* becomes Čečen *ō*, Inguš *oa*, e.g. \**dācun* “short” > Čeč. *dōca* [Maciev 157], Ing. *loaca* [Ozdoev 277], Bats *dacū* [Imnaišvili 118]; \**wārtu* “neck” > Čeč. *worta* [Maciev 94], Ing. *foart* [Ozdoev 800], Čeč. (Čeberlo) *wārtu*, Čeč. (Plains) *wōartu* [Imnaišvili 84]; \**kārgun* “deep” > Čeč. *korga* [Maciev 265], Ing. *koarga* [Ozdoev 125], Bats *kəokrū* [Imnaišvili 118], Čeč. (Čeberlo) *kārgō*, Čeč. (Wedana) *kōrgū* [Imnaišvili 69].

In loanwords, regressive vowel assimilation does not always occur in both languages; sometimes only Čečen or only Inguš shows ablaut; this is due to the fact that loanwords do not go back to a Proto-Nax etymon, but have often followed different transmission routes. Cf., e.g., Čeč. *ball* [Maciev 53], Ing. *boal* [Ozdoev 74] “sour cherry” ← New Persian *bālū* (cf. Provasi 1995, nr. 7)<sup>17</sup>, Čeč. *omra* [Maciev 323], Ing. *amar* [Ozdoev 495 s.v. *povelet'*] “order, command” ← Arabic *amr(u)*, Čeč. *gōmaš* [Maciev 104], Ing. *gamaž* [Ozdoev 56], Čeč. (Čeberlo) *gāmuš* [Imnaišvili 83] “buffalo cow”, from a New Persian prototype with *u* in the second syllable, cf. NPers. *gāvmēš*, *gāmēš*, *gāmūš* (< MPers. *gāwmēš* [Pahl. Dict. 36]), Ar.-Pers. *jāmūš* “buffalo” and Tališ *gāmūš* [Pirejko 57] (but Gilaki *gāvmiš* [KMR 99], Kurdish *gameš* [Kurdoev-Jusupova 544] (with *u*-vocalism of the second syllable we have also Caucasian Hunzib, Arči *gamuš*, Axvax *gamuši*, Caxur *žamuš*, Xinalug *gomuš* [Xajdakov 1973: 21], Avar (literary) *gámuš*: [Saidov 145], (Kunzax dialect) *gāwš*: [Gudava 38], Andi *gāwš*: [Cercvaze 14], Karata *gamuš*: [Magomedbekova

238], Lak *gamuš* [Xajdakov 1962: 81], Tabasaran *gamúš* [Uslar 1979: 639], Budux *gomúš* [Mejlanova 36], and with *i*-vocalism Caucasian Kryz *gomiš*, Rutul *žamiš* [Xajdakov 1973: 21], Lezgi *gamiš* [Talibov-Gadžiev 82] and Turkic Karačay *yammeš* [Pröhle 1909: 103], Balkar *gämeš* [Šaumjan 1935: 84], Kumyk *gamiš* [Bammatov 93]), Čeč. *elp* [Maciev 522], Ing. *alap* [Ozdoev 56] “letter (of the alphabet)” ~ Ar. *alif*, Čeč. *qēda* [Maciev 251] “islamic judge, cadi” ~ Ar. *qādīn*, Čeč. *kēχat* [Maciev 216], Ing. *käχat* [Ozdoev 56] “paper” from a variant of New Persian *kāyaz* with /i/ in the second syllable, cf. Ottoman Turkish *kâğız*, *kâğıt* [Redhouse 580], Čeč. *čētar* [Maciev 491], Ing. *čātar* [Ozdoev 460, 797] “tent; umbrella” from a variant of NPers. *čatr* with epenthetic vowel /i/, cf. Blk. *catır* [Šaumjan 1935: 82], Kum. *čatır* [Bammatov 356], AnT. *çetir* [Tietze 1967: 139] (and also Oss. (Ir.) *catar* [IÉSOJa I: 292] (cf. Provasi nr. 18), Čeč. *qēpal* [Maciev 252] “caravan” ~ Ar. *qāfila*, Čeč. *pēqar* [Maciev 339] “poor” ~ Ar. *faqīr*, Čeč. *pelhō* [Maciev 339], Ing. *pelha* [Ozdoev 23] “acrobat”, from a variant of New Persian *pahlavān* with /i/ in the second syllable, cf. Kumyk *pehlivan* [Bammatov 259], Ottoman Turkic *pehlivan* [Redhouse 923], Lezgi *pahliwan* [Talibov-Gadžiev 257], Budux *pehlivan* [Mejlanova 118], Ossetic (Digor) *pelewan*, *pelwan* [IÉSOJa II: 240] (cf. Provasi nr. 50).

Thus, both Čečen *obarg* and Inguš *ebarg* can be shown to go back to the same prototype of Avar *abúrik*, viz. \**appurig* > \**aburig*, which then split into \**aburg* (with loss of *i*) > \**oburg* > Čeč. [obərg] and \**abrig* (with loss of *u*) > \**abirg* > \**ebirg* > Ing. [ebərg].

In his work on Avar, Uslar compared to Turkish and Persian *āvāra* the Avar form *awárag* “prophet” [Uslar 1889: 36; cf. Saidov 25]; according to him, it probably derives from Turkic *əbür* “homeless; hermit (‘otşel’nik’)<sup>18</sup>. A similar word meaning “prophet” occurs in a certain number of East Caucasian languages; cf. Andi, Cez *awarag* [Abdullaev 1972: 340] (which is probably a borrowing from Avar), Dargva (Akuš and Urax dialects) *idbag*, (Kubači dialect) *idabag*, *ibadag*, (Cudaxar and Xaydak dialects) *iwarak*, Arči *idbag-t:u*, Caxur *idāg*, Lak *idaws*

[Abdullaev 1972: 340; cf. also Xajdakov 1962: 124 and Murkelinskij 146].

Žirkov<sup>19</sup> connects Avar *awárag* “prophet” with *apárag* “new-comer”, considering them as etymological doublets; Abdullaev [*ibid.*] observes that the meaning of the two words remains well distinct, and that moreover one cannot explain a passage *p* > *w* from the Avar point of view. Abdullaev (1972: 341-342) traces the Dargwa, Arči e Caxur forms back to a protoform \**idawag* [Abdullaev 1972: 345] (> *idabag* > *idbag*), with a metathesized variant \**iwadag* (from which both Kubači *ibadag* on the one hand (with \**w* > *b*) and Cudaxar *iwarak* and Avar *awárag* on the other hand (with \**d* > *r*) derive); the apparently anomalous Lak form *idaws* is brought back by Abdullaev (1972: 343-344) to *idawag*, through the stages \**idawš* < \**idawž* < \**idawg*.

As shown by Abdullaev (1972: 345), this is an old borrowing of Scytho-Alano-Sarmatian origin, from a term which survives in Ossetic (Iron) *dawæg*, (Digor) *idawæg* “godhead, heavenly force, saint”<sup>20</sup>.

The Ossetic word is often used in the plural (Iron *dawžtæ*, Digor *idawgutæ*) to indicate a class of spirits similar to, but different from those called (*i*)*zæd* (< \**yazata-*), pl. (*i*)*zædtæ*.

Ossetic *zæd* and *dawæg* are sometimes apparently interchangeable (Digor *idawgutæ* is explained by “izædtæ” [cf. Gershewitch 1955: 483 fn. 2]).

Besides the class of supernatural beings called collectively *dawžtæ* there exists an individual genius by the name of *Dawæg* [Gershewitch 1955: 483 fn. 2]; here the semantic passage seems to have gone from the generic to the particular, in the opposite direction to *Wacilla* → *wacillatæ* and *Wastyržy* → *wastyržytæ*.

The semantic difference between *zæd* and *dawæg*, however subtle (notwithstanding Dumézil’s objection, according to which “ces deux mots sont frequemment associés sans nuance appréciable” [Dumézil 1956: 355]), is rather clear; according to G. G. Bekoev (*Pamjatniki III*: 136 n. 22 and 138 n. 72, cited in Gershewitch 1955: 483) *zæd* is an “angel”, while *dawæg* is an “intercessor (*predstatel’*)” (and also “a man who becomes a dweller of

heaven (*nebožitel'*)". G. A. Dzagurov, in *Pamjatniki* I: 71 n. 4-5, as quoted in Dumézil 1956: 356, translates (*i*)*zæd* as "angel" and (*i*)*dawæg* as "heavenly judge")<sup>21</sup>; the meaning of the latter, then, agrees rather well with the above-mentioned Daghestanian terms for "prophet".

Various etymologies of Ossetic *dawæg* | *idawæg* have been proposed.

According to Benveniste (*Ét.oss.* 132) it derives from \**vi-dāva-ka-*, from the Avestan root *dav* "to go away" (in this case "to drive away").

Gershevitch proposed as the etymon of Ossetic (*i*)*dawæg*, which he defines as "a blessed dead in Heaven", a Middle Ossetic form \**ærdawæg*, from an extension in -(a)k(a)- of the Old Iranian term which appears in Old Persian as *artāvan-* and in Avestan as *ašāvan-* (cf. also Zoroastrian Middle Persian *ahlaw*, Manichaean Middle Persian and Parthian *ardāw* [Boyce 14]) "characterized by *arta-/aša-*" (Gershevitch 1955: 132-133).

Dumézil criticizes Gershevitch's etymology, chiefly on the basis of the fact that the proposed \**ærdawæg* does not explain the initial *i*- in the Digor form, and moreover that in the other example quoted by Gershevitch of initial *i*-accretion in a Digor word, *iwændæg* (Iron *wændag*) "brave, daring, agile, fast" (Gershevitch 1955: 133), the *i*- is in fact etymological (from Old Iranian \**yavant-aka-* [or \**yuvant-aka-*?], cf. Dumézil 1956: 366 n. 57]); Dumézil draws the conclusion that also the initial *i*- in (*i*)*dawæg*, like the one in (*i*)*zæd*, has an etymological value (Dumézil 1956: 359).

Dumézil (1956: 359-360) proposes a derivation as enlargement from Old Ir. \**yātu-* "sorcery", hence (*i*)*dawæg* as "a being endowed with *yātu-*", or, alternatively, a derivation from Indo-Iranian \**vi-dhā-* "to distribute" (Dumézil 1956: 362).

The most plausible etymology is the one proposed by Abaev, according to whom *dawæg* | *idawæg* derives from \**vi-tāva-ka-* [*IÉSOJa* I: 348-49]; it should be noted that Gershevitch too had thought of a connection of (*i*)*dawæg* with Sogdian *wyt<sup>2</sup>w-* "to en-

dure, persevere”, but subsequently abandoned this hypothesis [Gershevitch 1955: 484 fn. 1].

We can now review a few Middle Iranian connections of the Indo-Iranian root \**tav-* (< Indo-European \**teuH-*) “potentem esse” (cf. *Air.Wb.* 638).

The root \**tav-* is attested with the preverb \**vi-* in Buddhist Sogdian *wyt<sup>2</sup>wn<sup>2</sup>k /witāwnē/* “patient” (rather than “obstiné” [TSP 53, 277] (in *rty wyt<sup>2</sup>wn<sup>2</sup>k myn<sup>2</sup>t* (1131) <sup>2</sup>PZY <sup>2</sup>nt<sup>2</sup>wys<sup>2</sup>k prw šyr<sup>2</sup>krtih “and he remains patient and striving for good actions” P2.1130-1131 (TSP 53)) and in Manichaean Sogdian *wyt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny<sup>2</sup>h /witāw-pāz(a)nyā/* “patient-mindedness” (*Sogdica* 3, from *M 133*)<sup>22</sup> (= *wyt<sup>2</sup>w p<sup>2</sup>zny<sup>2</sup>h* “Duldersinn” *M 133 V ii 5* (= *ak 89* in *Licht-Nous* 130) and (in Sūtra-script) *wyt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny<sup>2</sup>kh /witāw-pāz(a)nyā/* “patient-mindedness” *T ii T(oyoq)* Fr. I (b) 15 (*Sogdica* 2), *wy-t<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>z-<sup>2</sup>nky<sup>2</sup>h* “patience” (fragm. 18170 = (T.M. 371) = u.9 = 41b in *Licht-Nous* 60, 68; cf. also the photograph of the fragment on plate XVI).

With the preverb \**pati-* it is attested in Sogdian *pt<sup>2</sup>w-/pattāw-/* “to endure”: Christian Sogdian <sup>2</sup>dy qt pt<sup>2</sup>wt *wytwr qt* (<sup>2</sup>)[y](m) “but he who endures till the end [comes]” *T II B 71 I.V.5* (ST I p. 6, as corrected in Sundermann 1974: 251); *qy cn xypθ [b]nty ptyθy<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>t nmy<sup>2</sup>q* (8) *pt<sup>2</sup>ws<sup>2</sup>q* “he who has endured contempt and abuse from his own servant” *T II B 66 R.7-8* (ST II 6.7-8, p. 535)<sup>23</sup>; *<sup>2</sup>t dwyd bywny pt<sup>2</sup>w<sup>2</sup>z <sup>2</sup>t myθ w<sup>2</sup>b<sup>2</sup>z* “and the prophet David endured it and spoke thus” *T II B 66 R.10* (ST II 6.10, p. 536)<sup>24</sup>; *<sup>2</sup>t y<sup>2</sup>ntt zprt<sup>2</sup>* (24) *pt<sup>2</sup>wyntqn* “these saints endured (it)” *T II B 66 R.23-24* (ST II 6.23-24, p. 536). In Buddhist Sogdian *[<sup>2</sup>]βz<sup>2</sup>y pt<sup>2</sup>wt* “he endures the torment” *L85.11* (Ragoza 59); *rty nwkr ZNH* (836) *δ<sup>2</sup>myh mγδβt<sup>2</sup> δ<sup>2</sup>wn n<sup>2</sup>βcykt pr<sup>2</sup>yw* (837) *wyspw L<sup>2</sup> pt<sup>2</sup>w<sup>2</sup>nt wn<sup>2</sup>nt* “then the ministers of the nation with the people could not endure it all” *P2.835-837* (TSP 40). In Manichaean Sogdian *pt<sup>2</sup>w-* (GMS 572 from *M 372*).

With the same preverb \**pati-* we have the Buddh. Sogd. adjective *pt<sup>2</sup>w(y)n<sup>2</sup>k /pattāw(ē)nē/* “patient”<sup>25</sup>, in the phrase *pt<sup>2</sup>wn<sup>2</sup>k wkry /pattāw(ē)nē w(i)kar/* “patience” (<sup>2</sup>PZY *ywnx* (68) *ZKZY ywn<sup>2</sup>k δwky kršn<sup>2</sup>w rty ZK c<sup>2</sup>wn pt<sup>2</sup>wn<sup>2</sup>k wkry s<sup>2</sup>r*

(69) *‘<sup>22</sup>γt<sup>2</sup>k βwt* “thus he who in this world is handsome comes from patience”<sup>26</sup> SCE. 67-69 (MacKenzie 1970: 4); *‘<sup>2</sup>PZY ZKw škš<sup>2</sup>pt ‘t* (172) *pt<sup>2</sup>wyn<sup>2</sup>k z<sup>2</sup>r<sup>2</sup>y m<sup>2</sup>n wn<sup>2</sup>nt* “and they practice the precepts and a patient, compassionate mind” *Vim.*171-172 (*BSTBL* 28); *rty nwykr kδ prw L<sup>2</sup> ‘<sup>2</sup>z<sup>2</sup>yt* (194) *pδkh ZKw pt<sup>2</sup>wyn<sup>2</sup>k wkry βyr<sup>2</sup>* “then if in the ‘non-birth’ rule one has acquired patience”<sup>27</sup> *Vim.*193-194 (*BSTBL* 30)) and the Sogdian compounds Chr. *pt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny<sup>2</sup> /pattāw-pāz(a)nyā/* “endurance (Duldersinn)” (*c<sup>2</sup>nw pt<sup>2</sup>wyšym cymyd xw<sup>2</sup>n* (33) *pt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny<sup>2</sup>* “when we hear about this endurance of sins” *T II B 66 V.8-9 (ST II 6.32-33, p. 537)*) and Buddh. *pt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny /pattāw-pāz(a)nē/* “having a patient mind” (?) (*pt<sup>2</sup>wp<sup>2</sup>zny myn mz<sup>2</sup>yγ γwt<sup>2</sup>w* “think of the patient mind, great lord” *L49.11* [Ragoza 39]).

Compounds with the root \**tav-* occur in addition, with the same preverb \**pati-*, in Zoroastrian Middle Persian *pt<sup>2</sup>dstn<sup>1</sup> /pattāystan/, ptwtn<sup>1</sup> /pattūdan/, pres. stem *pt<sup>2</sup>d-* /pattāy-/ “stay, remain, last, endure”, with the adjective *ptwk<sup>1</sup> /pattūg/* “enduring, patient, persevering” and its derivative *ptwkyh /pattūgh/* “endurance, patience, perseverance” [*Pahl.Dict.* 66] and *pt<sup>2</sup>n<sup>1</sup> /pattān/* “continually, uninterruptedly” (Nyberg, *Gloss.* 159, from \**pati-tāvana-*; he compares also Armenian *atak* “capable”, according to him from \**ati-tavaka-*). In Manichaean Middle Persian *pt<sup>2</sup>y- /pattāy-/* “endure, last, remain” (*Verbum* 209; according to Henning from \**pati-tāvaya-*; he compares also MPZor. *pattūg* (according to Nyberg “capable of”<sup>28</sup> from \**pati-tuvaka-* [Nyberg, *Gloss.* 159]); *Word-List* 75) (cf. Zoroastrian Middle Persian *pt<sup>2</sup>y /pattāy/* “lasting, durable” (Nyberg, *Gloss.* 159), in the compound *dglpt<sup>2</sup>y /dagr-pattāy/* “long-lasting, everlasting” [Nyberg, *Gloss.* 56]). In Manichaean Parthian we have, from the past stem, the adjective *ptwd /pattūd/* “having endured, suffered” (*Mir.Man.* III 854-855<sup>29</sup>, 905; *Word-List* 75), and, from the present stem, *hwpt<sup>2</sup>w /hupattāw/* “forbearing, patient” (*Mir.Man.* III 855 [e.g. *‘ydr<sup>2</sup>d* (16) *cy hwpt<sup>2</sup>w dyn<sup>2</sup>br kyc* (17) *cyš myhg<sup>2</sup>r kyrd ny šhyd* “because of this, nobody can do any harm to the forbearing dēnāwar” b (= *T II D 134*, cf. above) 15-17], 901; *Word-List* 49).*

Finally, the same root apparently occurs with the preverb *\*ā-* in Manichaean Middle Persian *~d(w)g /ādug/* “capable” (*Verbum* 250; according to Henning from *\*ā-tuvaka-*); cf. also Man. Parthian *~dg /ādug/* “capable” (*Word-List* 3).

## Notes

1. *Ukazatel'*, where the list of words quoted from Caucasian languages goes from p. 334 to p. 393.
2. In particular, the name of the Alanians themselves (or its prototype *\*ārya-*), which entered the Nax languages with the meaning “prince, lord” (Čečen *ēla*, Ingūš *āla*, Bats *alē*); on the name of the Alanians cf. also Gnoli 1996.
3. But on page 206 she compares Geo *avari* “booty” with MPers *āβār* (<*ā-pār*) [sic].
4. This is attested only twice (cf. Back 177, with references to Bailey and Messina, who reads it *āwār*).
5. Which, according to Back, could represent */aywār/* > */ēwār/* (Back 177), cf. the spelling *?dwyn* for */ēwēn/* < *\*abi-dainā-* (Back 130).
6. The initial *ā-* of the Persian form, however, could point to a confusion, in this particular case, between *\*ā-* and *\*ava-*.
7. In the section on loanwords of Scytho-Alano-Ossetic origin.
8. Schmidt considers the Karacay form as a borrowing from Kabardian, and all other Caucasian forms (the Ossetian ones included) as borrowings from Georgian.
9. In Kaxib, as in Hidatl, the groups */ay/, /aw/* undergo monophthongization, e.g. *bercine* < *bérçina-y* “beautiful (f.)” (cf. Mikailov, *Dial.* 377-378).
10. It is formed as *nucál-a-y* “princess” (also a proper name) (cf. Saidov 362, 727) from *nucál* “prince”.
11. Usually Čuvaš */š/* corresponds in borrowings to Iranian */š/* [Scherner 69-71], while Persian */k/* and */g/* become Čuv. */k/* [Scherner 82-84]; in loanwords, moreover, */b/* of the source-language becomes */p/* (phonetically [b]) between vowels [Scherner 17].
12. Cf. Benveniste 1955: 36-41.
13. According to Henning from *\*apa-bar-* [*Verbum* 175], as also New Persian *burdan* “to take away” (thus also *AirWb* 942 n. 35).
14. The literary language has not accepted this peculiar Xunzax phonological trait, except in a few cases, like *haťán* “sunday” < *\*pačan*, cf. Gudava 36.
15. In Čečen and Ingūš stress falls on the first syllable of the word, as against Bats, where the stress is relatively free and may fall either on the radical syllable or on the ending, e.g. *čūxi* ‘lamb’, pl. *čuxuí*, *žagn* ‘book’, gen. sg. *žagnō*, gen. pl. *žagnō* (cf. Imnašvili 17-20). Bats probably represents the

original situation; hence one can suppose that stress, which was still free in proto-Nax, has become secondarily fixed on the first syllable in Čečen-Inguš; this fixing of the stress position has had three consequences: 1) the reduction and centralization of vowels in post-stress syllables; 2) the umlaut of /a/ (and other vowels) in the first syllable; 3) the lengthening of first syllable vowels, if this syllable was open (this generally happens only in loanwords).

16. This is probably a borrowing from Avar, cf. Av. *šaqi* [Saidov 591]; in other languages of Daghestan there is Lak *š:iqi* [Xajdakov 1962: 326]; in Turkic languages, Kumyk *šaqi* [Bammatov 367], Balkar *šaqi* [Šaumjan 1935: 78]; moreover, among North-West Caucasian languages, the word appears in Kabardian *šāqa* [Kardanov 429]; the origin of this word is according to Z. G. Abdullaev [apud Šagirov II: 136] ultimately from Dargi (Dargi *šinqq*).

17. The Inguš form seems to go back to a prototype \**bālu*, while Čeč. *ball*, which presents no trace of *u*-umlaut, probably derives from a form without final *u*, cf. Oss. (Iron) *bal* [IĘSOJa I: 233] and Arm *bal* [Hübschmann 263].

18. He assigns the same origin to Avar *abúrik* [Uslar 1889: 35]; cf. also IĘSOJa I: 25-26.

19. Žirkov 14, 16 (non vidi; quoted by Abdullaev 1972: 340).

20. On *dawæg* | *idawæg* in Caucasian languages cf. also Bielmeier 1989. Murkelinskij considers the Avar form *awarag* as an Arabo-Persian loan like *payyambar* and *šayx* [Murkelinskij 146]; in other Caucasian languages the word for "prophet" is usually a borrowing from New Persian *payyāmbar* (cf. Provasi 1995, n. 53).

21. Besides, the *dawžtæ* are defined as "protector spirits of the animals, forests, waters, winds ..." in Kulov 495 and 496; cf. Dumézil 1956: 357.

22. Cf. also Catalogue 11.

23. Cf. also GMS 644.

24. Cf. also GMS 814.

25. A present participle, cf. GMS 893.

26. Rather than "from a patient kind", cf. BSTBL 25 [note to *Vim.171*].

27. Cf. fn. 26 above.

28. This seems in fact to be the meaning of *ptwk*! e.g. in ZK YKR<sup>2</sup> *wcyn*!  
*ptwk*! *hlt*! /än i harw wizēn pattūg xrad/ "the intellect, capable of every choice" (Nyberg, *Texts* 55.2 [from Dēnkart VII, M 623]).

29. Three examples in successive lines: b [= T II D II 134 (now = M 5815, cf. Catalogue 115) R I] 11, 12, 13.

## Bibliography

- Abaev, V. I., *Istoriko-étimologičeskij slovar' osetinskogo jazyka*, I, Moskva-Leningrad 1958; II, Leningrad 1973; III, Leningrad 1979; IV, Leningrad 1989 (= *IÉSOJa*).
- Abaev, V. I., *Istoriko-étimologičeskij slovar' osetinskogo jazyka. Ukazatel'* (sost. E. N. Ščensnovič, A. V. Lušnikova, L. R. Dodyxudoeva), Moskva 1995 (= *Ukazatel'*).
- Abdullaev, I. X., "K istorii nazvanií proroka v dagestanskix jazykax", *Étymologie* 1970, Moskva 1972, 339-348.
- Abduraxmanov, R. (ed.), *Russko-uzbekskij slovar'*, Moskva 1954.
- Andreas, F. C., Henning, W., *Mitteliranische Manichaica aus Chinesisch-Turkestan*, III, SPAW 1934, 846-912 (= *Mir.Man.* III).
- Andronikashvili, M., *Narkvevebi iranul-kartuli enobrivi urtiertobidan*, Tbilisi 1966 (= *Narkvevebi*).
- Ansari, Z. (ed.), *Urdu-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1964.
- Aslanov, M. G., *Puštu-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1985.
- Azizbekov, X. A., *Azerbajdzansko-russkij slovar'*, Baku 1965.
- Back, M., *Die sassanidischen Staatsinschriften* (Acta Iranica, 18), Téhéran-Liège 1978.
- Bailey, H. W., "Excursus iranocaucasicus", in *Monumentum H. S. Nyberg*, I (Acta Iranica, 4), Téhéran-Liège 1975, 31-35.
- Bailey, H. W., *Dictionary of Khotan Saka*, Cambridge 1979 (= *Dict.Kh.Saka*).
- Bailey, H. W., "Iranica in Veinakh languages", in C. Paris (ed.), *Caucasologie et mythologie comparée. Actes du Colloque international du CNRS. IV<sup>e</sup> Colloque de Caucasiologie* (Sèvres, 27-29 juin 1988) (SELAF n. 332), Paris 1992, 323-324.
- Bailey, T. G., *An English-Punjabi Dictionary*, Delhi 1976 (repr. of 1919) (= *Bailey, Panj.*).
- Bammatov Z. Z., *Kumyksko-russkij slovar' / Qumuqça-rusça sözlük*, Moskva 1969.
- Bartholomae, Ch., *Altiranisches Wörterbuch*, Strassburg 1904 (= *AirWb*).
- Baskakov, N. A. (ed.), *Nogajsko-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1963.
- Baskakov, N. A., Karryev, B. A., Xamzaev, M. Ja., *Turkmensko-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1968 (= BKX).
- Benveniste, E., *Textes sogdiens*, Paris 1940 (Mission Pelliot, III) (= *TSP*).
- Benveniste, E., "Homophonies radicales en Indo-européen", *BSL* 51 (1955), 14-41.
- Benveniste, E., *Études sur la langue ossète* (Collection linguistique publiée par la Société de Linguistique de Paris, LX), Paris 1959 (= *Ét.oss.*).
- Bielmeier, R., "Sarmatisch, Alanisch, Jassisch", in R. Schmitt (ed.), *Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum*, Wiesbaden 1989, 236-245.

- Boyce, M., *A Catalogue of the Iranian Manuscripts in Manichaean Script in the German Turfan Collection*, Berlin 1960 (= Catalogue).
- Boyce, M., *A Word-List of Manichaean Middle Persian and Parthian* (Acta Iranica, 9a), Téhéran-Liège 1977 (= Word-List).
- Bouda, K., "Beiträge zur etymologischen Erforschung des Georgischen", *Lingua* II (1949-50), 291-307.
- Cercvaze, I., *Andiuri ena (gramatikuli analizi tekstebit)*, Tbilisi 1965.
- Dumézil, G., "Noms mythiques indo-iraniens dans le folklore des ossetes", *JA* CCXLVI (1956), 349-367.
- Gershevitch, I., *A Grammar of Manichean Sogdian* (Publications of the Philological Society XVI), Oxford 1954 (= GMS).
- Gershevitch, I., "Word and Spirit in Ossetic", *BSOAS* XVII, 1955, 478-489.
- Gignoux, Ph., *Glossaire des inscriptions Pehlevies et Parthes* (Corpus Inscriptionum Iranicarum, Supplementary Series, I), London 1972.
- Gippert, J., *Iranica Armeno-Iberica. Studien zu den iranischen Lehnwörtern im Armenischen und Georgischen [I-II]* (Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Iranistik 26), Wien 1993.
- Gnoli, G., "Il nome degli Alani nelle iscrizioni sassanidi: Considerazioni linguistiche e storiche sul tema dell'opposizione tra Iran esterno e Iran interno", in *Il Caucaso: cerniera fra cultura dal Mediterraneo alla Persia (secoli IV-XI)* (Settimane di studio del Centro Italiano di Studi sull'Alto Medioevo, XLIII), Spoleto 1996, 831-861.
- Grjunberg, A. L., Steblin-Kamenskij, I. M., *Vaxanskij jazyk. Teksty, slovar', grammatičeskij očerk*, Moskva 1976 (= Vax.jaz.).
- Gudava, T. E., *Konsonantizm andijskix jazykov. Istoriko-sravnitel'nyj analiz*, Tbilisi 1964.
- Gukasjan, V., *Udinsko-azerbajdzansko-russkij slovar' / Udinjä-azärbayjanja-rusja lüyät*, Baku 1974.
- Henning, W. B., "Das Verbum des Mittelpersischen der Turfanfragmente", *ZII* 9 (1933), 158-253 (= Verbum).
- Henning, W. B., *Sogdica*, London 1940 (= Sogdica).
- Henning, W. B., "Two Manichaean Magical Texts, with an Excursus on the Parthian ending -ēndēh", *BSOAS*, 1947, 39-66.
- Horn, P., *Grundriss der neopersischen Etymologie*, Strassburg 1893.
- Hübschmann, H., *Armenische Grammatik, 1. Armenische Etymologie*, Leipzig 1892 (Hildesheim 1962<sup>2</sup>).
- Imnaišvili, D. S., *Istoriko-sravnitel'nyj analiz fonetiki naxskix jazykov*, Tbilisi 1977.
- Iršad, A., *Urdū-panjābi luyat*, Lāhaur 1974.
- Kardanov, B. M. et al., *Kabardinsko-russkij slovar' / Qabarday-wərəs slovar'*, Moskva 1957.

- Kerimova, A. A., Mamedzade, A. K., Rastorgueva, V. S., *Giljansko-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1980 (= KMR).
- Kulov, K. D. (ed.), *Osetinskie nartskie skazanija*, Dzæudžyqæu 1948.
- Kurdoev, K. K., Jusupova, Z. A., *Kurdsko-russkij slovar' (sorani)* / *Færhængi kürdi-rusi (sorani)*, Moskva 1983.
- Maciev, A. G., *Čečensko-russkij slovar'* / *Noxčin-örsin slovar'*, Moskva 1961.
- MacKenzie, D. N., *A Concise Pahlavi Dictionary*, London 1971 (*Pahl.Dict.*).
- MacKenzie, D. N., *The 'Sūtra of the Causes and Effects of Actions' in Sogdian* (London Oriental series, 22), London 1970.
- MacKenzie, D. N., *The Buddhist Sogdian Texts of the British Library* (Acta Iranica, 10), Téhéran-Liège 1976 (= *BSTBL*).
- Maclean, A. J., *Dictionary of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac*, Oxford 1901.
- Macuch, R., Panoussi, E., *Neusyrische Chrestomathie*, Wiesbaden 1974.
- Magomedbekova, Z. M., *Karatinskij jazyk. Grammatičeskij analiz, teksty, slovar'*, Tbilisi 1971.
- Mejlanova, U. A., *Buduksko-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1984.
- Mewaram, P., *A New English-Sindhi Dictionary*, Hyderabad 1933 (New Delhi 1971<sup>2</sup>).
- Mikailov, Š. I., *Sravnitel'no-istoričeskaja fonetika avarskix dialektov*, Maxačkala 1958 (= Mikailov, *Fon.*).
- Mikailov, Š. I., *Očerki avarskoj dialektologii*, Moskva-Leningrad 1959 (= Mikailov, *Fon.*).
- Mikailov, K. Š., "Esčе neskol'ko dagestanskix alanizmov", *Etimologija* 1970 (Moskva 1972), 333-338.
- Müller, F. W. K., *Soghdische Texte I* (APAW 1912 Nr. 2) (= *ST I*).
- Müller, F. W. K., Lentz, W., *Soghdische Texte II*, SPAW, Phil.-hist. kl., Berlin 1934, 504-605 (= *ST II*).
- Murkelinskij, G. B. (ed.), *Sravnitel'no-istoričeskaja leksika dagestanskix jazykov*, Moskva 1971.
- Nadžip, É. N., *Ujgursko-russkij slovar'*, Moskva 1968.
- Nyberg, H. S., *A Manual of Pahlavi*, I: *Texts*, Wiesbaden 1964 (= Nyberg, *Texts*).
- Nyberg, H. S., *A Manual of Pahlavi*, II: *Glossary*, Wiesbaden 1974 (= Nyberg, *Gloss.*).
- Ozdoev, I. A., *Russko-ingušskij slovar'* / *Ersi-yalyay slovar'*, Moskva 1980.
- Pamjatniki Narodnogo Tvorčestva Osetin*, I, Vladikavkaz 1925.
- Pamjatniki Narodnogo Tvorčestva Osetin*, III, Vladikavkaz 1928.
- Pirejko, L. A., *Talyšsko-russkij slovar'* / *Toləšæ-urusæ luyæt*, Moskva 1976.
- Pröhle, W., "Karatschaisches Wörterverzeichnis", KSz 10 (1909), 83-150.
- Provasi, E., "Gli elementi lessicali persiani in circasso", in P. G. Donini, C. Lo Jacono, L. Santa Maria (eds.), *Un ricordo che non si spegne. Scritti di*

- docenti e collaboratori dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli in memoria di Alessandro Bausani*, Napoli 1995.
- Ragoza, A. N., *Sogdijskie fragmenty central'no-aziatskogo sobranija Instituta Vostokovedenija*, Moskva 1980.
- Redhouse Yeni Türkçе-ingilizce sözlük / New Redhouse Turkish-English Dictionary*, Istanbul 1968.
- Rossi, A. V., *Iranian Lexical Elements in Brähūī*, Naples 1979.
- Saidov, M., *Avarsко-рusskij slovar'* / *Awar-eurus maç:ałul slovar'*, Moskva 1967.
- Scherner, B., *Arabische und neopersische Lehnwörter im Tschuwaschischen. Versuch einer Chronologie ihrer Lautveränderungen*, Wiesbaden 1977.
- Schmidt, G., "Über die kaukasischen Lehnwörter des Karatschajischen", *MSFOu* 67 (1933), 465-471.
- Steingass, F., *A Comprehensive Persian-English Dictionary*, London 1892.
- Sundermann, W., *Mittelpersische und parthische kosmogonische und Parabeltexte der Manichäer* (Berliner Turfantexte, IV), Berlin 1973 (= *Parabeltexte*).
- Sundermann, W., "Nachlese zu F. W. K. Müllers 'Soghdischen Texten I, 1. Teil'", *Alt-orientalische Forschungen* 1, 1974, 217-255.
- Sundermann, W., *Der Sermon vom Licht-Nous. Eine Lehrschrift des östlichen Manichäismus. Edition der parthischen und soghdischen Version* (Berliner Turfantexte, XVII), Berlin 1992 (= *Licht-Nous*).
- Šagirov, A. K., *Etimologičeskij slovar' adygskix (cerkesskix) jazykov*. [1] A-N, [2] P-?, Moskva 1977.
- Šaumjan, R., "Balkarskaja leksika (materialy dlja balkarsko-russkogo slovarja)", *Jazyki severnogo Kavkaza i Dagestana*, 1, Moskva-Leningrad 1935, 67-86.
- Talibov, B., Gadžiev, M., *Lezginsko-russkij slovar'* / *Lezgi čalanni urus čalan slovar'*, Moskva 1966.
- Tietze, A., "Persian Loanwords in Anatolian Turkish", *Oriens* 20 (1967) [1969], 125-168.
- Tschenkeli, K., *Georgisch-deutsches Wörterbuch*, I-III, Zürich 1965-1974.
- Tugov, V. B. [Təg° Vladimir], *Abazinsko-russkij slovar'* / *Abaza-wrəš° až°ar*, Moskva 1967.
- Uslar, P. K., *Ètnografija Kavkaza. Jazykoznanie*, III: *Avarskej jazyk*, Tiflis 1889.
- Uslar, P. K., *Ètnografija Kavkaza. Jazykoznanie*, VI: *Tabasarsanskij jazyk*, Tbilisi 1979.
- Vasmer, Max [Maks Fasmer], *Ètimologičeskij slovar' russkogo jazyka*, I-IV (tr. O. N. Trubačev, ed. B. A. Larin), Moskva 1986-87.
- Vogt, H., *Dictionnaire de la langue Oubykh* (Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning, Série B: Skrifter, LII), Oslo 1963.
- Xajdakov, S. M., *Laksko-russkij slovar'* / *Lak°u mazral wa urus mazral slovar'*, Moskva 1962.

Xajdakov, S. M., *Sravnitel'no-sopostavitel'nyj slovar' dagestanskix jazykov*,  
Moskva 1973.