By H. W. BAILEY

1 dru-

In a picturesque set of Avestan verses the converted Vištāspa now champion of the $da\bar{e}n\bar{a}$ faith is described as a warrior making free way for the religion.² This passage occurs twice: in the list of faithful early believers in Yašt 13.99 and with different introduction in Yašt 19.85.

> Yašt 13.99 kavõiš vīštāspahe ašaonō fravašīm yazamaide $taxmahe\ tanuma\theta rahe\ a,\ f,\ y.$ darši.draoš āhūiryehe a. f. y. yō druča paurvanča ašāi ravō yaēša yō druča paurvanča ašāi ravō vīvaēδa yō bāzušča upastača vīsata aiphā daēnayā yat ahurōiš zaraθuštrōiš

Yašt 19.83 $\dots x^v ar n \bar{o} \dots$

84

85

yat upanhačat kavaēm vīštāspəm anumatēe daēnayāi anuxtže daēnayāi anuvarštēe daēnayāi yat imam daēnam āstaota dušmainyūm siždyō daēvan apa ašavan 3 yō druča paurvanča ašāi ravō yaēša

continuing as in Yašt 13.99.

The phrase of interest here is in translation: 'We honour with yasna-rite the fravaši-warden of the kavi-ruler Vištāspa who maintains the (Zoroastrian) truth, of the bold one, the incarnate gospel, possessor of the fierce wood (spear), devoted to the Ahura-lord, who from bow and arrow got open space

```
<sup>1</sup> For parts i, ii see BSOAS, xxi, 3, 1958, 522-45; xxiii, 1, 1960, 13-39.
```

AEWH. Adjarian, Armenisches etymologisches Wurzelwörterbuch

AIWC. Bartholomae, Altiranisches Wörterbuch

H. Frisk, Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch GEW

IEWJ. Pokorny, Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch

KBTH. W. Bailey, Khotanese Buddhist texts

KTH. W. Bailey, Khotanese texts

LitEW E. Fraenkel, Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch

OssED V. Abaev, Istoriko-ëtimologičeskij slovar' osetinskogo jazyka

Transactions of the Philological Society

² Abbreviations:

³ This šav- should go with that in BSOAS, XXIII, 1, 1960, 36 ff.

for truth, who found out open space for truth, who with arms and with aid was trusty knight for this faith, which is the Ahura-lord's and Zoroaster's '.

Here the $rav\bar{o}$ 'open space' recalls the desired $v\acute{a}rivas$ - in the Rigveda. The $a\check{s}a$ - is narrowed to the Zoroastrian truth.

It is thus proposed to translate the words druča paurvanča by 'from bow and arrow'. It is to establish this meaning that the following evidence is adduced.

Earlier interpretations exist. No Pahlavī rendering has been found, which might have been hoped for in the Denkart, where other Yast passages are known. F. Spiegel, Avesta, 1863, III, 128, has 'mit in Viele eindringender Waffe'. C. de Harlez gave 'marchant en avant'; J. Darmesteter, ZA, II, 1892, 637, 'l'arme dirigée en avant'. Similar in A. V. Williams Jackson, Zoroaster, 1901, 81, is 'with advancing weapon'. C. Bartholomae, AIW, for dru thought of Rigvedic RV 1.164.32 hírug in the phrase yá īm dadársa hírug ín nú tásmāt, and hurúg in RV 9.77.5 váruno hurúg yaté. These are rendered in K. Geldner, Der Rigveda, hírug 'dem entschwindet er' and hurúg 'krumme Wege', that is, like Sāyaṇa's hírug 'antarhita-, hidden' or 'pṛthak, apart'; hurúgʻ kutilam, crooked'. Mādhava's Rgarthadīpikā in RV 1.164.32 rendered hírug by antarhitah. AIW has 'seitlich, nach den Seiten'. In paurvan the AIW assumed the word paurva- 'before'. The Bartholomae-Wolff translation then has 'seitlich und vorn'. H. Lommel, Die Yäst's des Awesta, 1927, pp. 124, 185, left the phrase untranslated and without note. J. Hertel, Abh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss., Phil.-hist. Kl., XLI, 6, 1931, 56, 187, followed AIW, but took $dru\check{c}a$ as an instrumental in $-\bar{a}$.

The decisive evidence for the phrase is provided by Khotanese Saka. Here two words, originally of distinct form, have almost coalesced: Old Khotanese has durna- 'bow' and $p\bar{u}rna$ - 'arrow'.

Khot. durna- (later duna-, dūna-) belongs with a large number of Iranian dialect words. In durna- the -rn- is secondary. Primary -rn- became -rr- as in the type kārra- 'deaf', tarra- 'herb', or phārra- 'position'. It is safe to recognize in durna- an older *druna- by way of *duruna-. Similar displacement is known in West Iranian Zor. Pahl. dwlgr *durgar 'carpenter' from *dru-kara-,² NPers. durgar. The Zor. Pahl. dlpwšt for dlwpwšt *drupušt 'fortress' may indicate a shift towards *durpušt.³ The full shift has occurred in Zor. Pahl. zwrw'n *zurvān, Avestan zrvan- and Man. Sogd. zwrnyy 'period of time'. An etymology depending upon zur- was offered in GrBd. 167.5-6 zwrw'n ī zēn hast ī ōhrmazd ēt ku zūr patiš vānēt 'zurvān is the weapon of Ohrmazd with which he destroys evil'.

¹ The problem of rtá-, aša- is again considered by F. B. J. Kuiper, Indo-Iranian Journal, v, 1, 1961, 41-2.

² DkM 756.5, 21; 352.13, bis.

³ The older form dlwpwšt in GrBd. 61.4, but more usually without the first -w-, as in GrBd. 61.1, 4; Dd. 36.16, 17, 66; $Z\bar{a}tspram$ 5.1-3; $M\bar{e}n\bar{o}k$ \bar{i} xrat 1.31, 13.2; the Pāzand has $dr\bar{u}pušt$.

⁴ W. B. Henning, BSOS, vIII, 2-3, 1936, 585. The Buddhist Sogdian initial was ambiguous, either n or z. As the rendering of Ind. (Buddhist) $Brahm\bar{a}$ the Sogdian had 'zrw'.

472 H. W. BAILEY

Bud. Sogdian has the word $\delta r'wn$ 'bow'.¹ It has also compounds: $\delta r'wn-p'\delta'y$ 'shooting with bow'² and $\delta rwn\check{s}tn$ ³ 'quiver', in which the second component is not decided. The -š- is clear. A comparison with Prasun duru- $\check{s}tar$ 'bow and quiver' would suggest a word *starana-.⁴

Zor. Pahlavī drwn is * $dr\bar{o}n$ 'bow' in the verse $z\bar{i}h$ hač man karēnd $k\bar{e}$ bandand apar $dr\bar{o}n$ 'the bowstring they make from me which they attach to the bow'. But in GrBd. 140.6 sanvar from Avestan θ anvar- is used, which is glossed by $kam\bar{a}n$.

NPersian has $dur\bar{o}nah$ 'bow' from *draunaka-. Ossetic has without -ka-D $\ddot{a}rdun\ddot{a}$ and $\ddot{a}ndur\ddot{a}$, I $\ddot{a}rdyn$; with the arrow there is the phrase $f\bar{a}t$ $\ddot{a}m\ddot{a}$ $\ddot{a}rdyn$. Balōčī $dr\bar{u}n$ is the 'rainbow'. In eastern Iranian Yidya has $dr\bar{u}n$, $dr\bar{u}n$; Ormurī $dr\bar{o}nq$, $dr\bar{u}nq$.

In the closely connected Indo-Aryan we have OInd. druna- 'bow' (and drona- 'wooden vessel'); Khowar has $dr\bar{o}n$ 'bow' from *drona-.6 In Nūristānī (Kāfirī) occur Aškun $dr\tilde{o}$, $dr\tilde{u}$ 'war bow', Waigeli, Kati $dr\tilde{o}$, Prasun duru-štar 'bow and quiver' and $dr\tilde{u}$ -št $\bar{o}r$.7

All these words are derivatives from Indo-Iran. dāru, dru-.

Old Khot. $p\bar{u}rna$ -, $p\bar{u}na$ - (E 22.15), later $p\bar{u}mna$ -, $p\bar{u}na$ - 'arrow' is frequently attested.⁸ Here too -rn- is secondary. The word $p\bar{u}rna$ - can then be traced to *paruna-, a thematic form from *parvan-: parun-.⁹ The long vowel of $p\bar{u}rna$ - may be due to epenthesis *pauruna- (as we find $k\bar{v}ra$ - from *karya-) or the $p\bar{u}$ - may be compared with the $p\bar{u}$ -, $b\bar{u}$ -, and $v\bar{u}$ - of Old Khot.

- ¹ 'Sutra of causes and effects' 225.
- ² SCE 135. Here $pa\theta$ 'to eject' is connected with OInd. path-, see E. Benveniste, BSL, LII, 1, 1956, 46. Khotanese has $ph\bar{a}h$ 'to eject', see TPS, 1961 (in the hands of the editor).
 - ³ P 13.27.
 - ⁴ For starana- note the discussion in Donum natalicium H.S. Nyberg oblatum, p. 15-16.
 - ⁵ Pahlavi texts, p. 112, Draxt asōrīk, as recognized in J. Markwart, Festgabe J. Szinnyei, 57.
 - ⁶ G. Morgenstierne, Felicitation volume presented to S.K. Belvalkar, 90.
 - ⁷ See the quotations in BSOAS, xxIII, 1, 1960, 33.
- 8 As E 25.279 smrtty-upasthāne durna, samyaprahāna/pūrnānu bājo räddhäpāta kho hälśte; Hedin 21.3 (KT, rv, 34) in a list duna pūmna huśtä besa 'bows, arrows, spears, shields '.
 - ⁹ E Glossar connected pūrna- with OInd. parvan- 'knot' and parusa- 'rough'.

The suffix -un- in the sense of an agent or tool with further suffix -ya- can be seen in Ossetic D $\ddot{a}lxujn\ddot{a}$, I $\ddot{a}lxui$ 'spindle'. The discovery of Chorasmian 'lx *alx 'top of a spindle' quoted by W. B. Henning, Z.V. Togan'a armağan, 436, has made it possible to improve upon TPS, 1945, 36. Here Ossetic *alxunya- (in which the i-umlaut has prevented the change of -u- to - \ddot{u} - in Iron) and Chorasmian alx disclose an older *alxa- or *arxa- (possibly from *arxra-with dissimilated r-r, in reverse to $u\ddot{a}d\ddot{a}rt$ 'reel' from * $uarta\theta ra$ -, see G. Morgenstierne, NTS, XII, 1942, 268). With different suffix Greek has $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\epsilon\kappa\acute{a}\tau\eta$, $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\kappa\acute{a}\tau\eta$, $\mathring{a}\lambda\kappa\acute{a}\tau a$ 'distaff' (Homer and later): it is left unattached in H. Frisk, GEW, s.v., and Pokorny, IEW, 676. From these two forms the base would be *alek-, in Iranian possibly replaced by *alakh- (if no -r- followed the -k-).

The unexplained OInd. RV $akr\acute{a}$ -, a straight and upright thing, which is compared to a $sv\acute{a}ru$ - 'sacrificial post, $y\bar{u}pa$ -' may be taken for older * $arkr\acute{a}$ - with dissimilated r-r, or as metathetic for * $ark\acute{a}$ -. Earlier attempts to class this $akr\acute{a}$ - have given 'elephant' (with query, Geldner's $\ddot{U}bersetzung$), 'pillar' (Ludwig), 'banner' (Grassmann's $W\ddot{o}rterbuch$) and 'acorn' (Brunnhofer); uncertain (Mayrhofer). Sāyaṇa and Mādhava's $Rgarthad\bar{v}pik\bar{u}$ traced $akr\acute{a}$ - to the base kram-; Yāska offered nakra-.

 $p\bar{u}$ beitä (E 23.168), $b\bar{u}$ ṣṣ- 'give', $v\bar{u}m\bar{u}va$ 'hairs' (E 15.50). The lengthening is here secondary: $b\bar{u}$ ṣṣ- $b\bar{u}$ ṣ- is from baxš-. In $-m\bar{u}va$ is probably contained a cognate of Zor. Pahl. $m\bar{o}\delta$, NPers. $m\bar{o}y$ 'hair'.

The Khot. pūrna- from *parun- recalls the OInd. párvan- 'knot' which occurs in the compounds ānata-parvan- and nata-parvan- 'with flat knots' of the smooth shaft of the arrow. Yet it is better to refer pūrna- 'arrow' to the base par- 'to float in the air, fly' found in Av. parana-, pərəna- 'wing, leaf', Khot. pārra 'leaf', Sogd. prn- in prn'y'n 'winged', Zor. Pahl., NPers. parr, whence the denominative parrīdan. Then *parvan- in pūrna- 'arrow' would be a derivative with -van- beside -na-.¹ A form para- with suffix -a-may be accepted in Yašt 10.129 in gen. plur. kahrkāsō.paranam. Outside Iranian OInd. parna- 'wing, feather, leaf' stands with OSlav. pero 'feather', and verbal pero 'to fly'. The form sper- is found in Lit. sparnas 'wing, leaf'.

In Avestan druča paurvąnča we have then the close cognates of Old Khot. durna- and $p\bar{u}rna$ -. It remains to suggest the cases of the Avestan words. From -van-: -un- the inflexion shows Av. $zr\bar{u}$, zru from zrvan- 'time' and $h\bar{u}$ 'sun' from hvan- in the gen. singular, that is, from *zrun-h and *hun-h (beside GAv. $xv\bar{v}ng$ and later $h\bar{u}r\bar{o}$). Hence dru- could represent *drun-h gen. sing. of *druvan-. If we had however an -u- stem as in $mainy\bar{u}$ instr. sing. the dru- could be for * $dr\bar{u}$. In paurvqn- we have -qn which is known in gen. sing. $d\bar{a}mqn$, ayqn, loc. sing. ayqn (beside older $\check{c}a\check{s}m\bar{o}ng$) and acc. plur. ayqn, $d\bar{a}mqn$, $kar\check{s}vqn$. Since in the phrase $dru\check{c}a$ $paurvqn\check{c}a$ both words are likely to be in the same case, it will be gen.-abl. singular; hence the rendering 'from bow and arrow' in the sense of the source from which Vištāspa got the means to win open course for the Daenā.

2. ara-

A derivate of a base ar- is found, once only in Yašt 5.93, in the Avestan ara- which occurs in a list of persons who are barred from consuming the $zao\theta r\bar{a}$ - 'libation, offering', the $z\bar{o}hr$. The passage reads:

nōit avå zaoθrå paiti.vīse
yå māvōya framuharmti
andåsča karmåsča drvåsča
mūråsča aråsča ramhåsča
ava daxšta daxštavanta
yā nōit pouru.jira fradaxšta
vīspanam anu maθrm

Of these words anda- 'blind', karna- 'deaf', and $m\bar{u}ra$ - 'foolish' are familiar in later Khot. hana-, Sogd. 'nt *anda-, Ormurī $h\bar{o}nd$, $\bar{o}nd$ 'blind'; Sogd. krn, Khot. $k\bar{a}rra$ -, Zor. Pahl., NPers. karr 'deaf'; OInd. $m\bar{u}r\dot{a}$ -, Greek $\mu\omega\rho\dot{o}s$ 'foolish'. But the three words drva-, ara-, and ranha- have remained uncertain. The sphere of reference is shown by the final phrase: 'marked

¹ For par- see E. Benveniste, BSL, LI, 1, 1955, 36 ff., and Festgabe für H. Lommel, 19. VOL. XXIV. PART 3.

with that mark with which the not greatly intelligent are marked in the view of all '.

Associated with $m\bar{u}ra$ - the word ara- may be expected to be in the same range of meaning. This is confirmed when it is recognized that Sogdian "r'k * $\bar{a}raka$ - is attested in the meaning 'wild, mad'. The meaning of the Sogdian word is assured by two sources, the bilingual Chinese-Sogdian 'Sūtra of causes and effects' (SCE) and its occurrence among a familiar list of Indian medical terms. In SCE 407 we find rty č'wn "r'k" zwn " γ t'y β wt rendering Chinese 'viennent de parmi les fous'. Here the Chinese compound is M $\pm tien-k$ 'uang 'mad' in which k'uang means 'mad, cruel, rash; be wildly merry, throw off restraint'.

The second passage ¹ is P 2.37. References to diseases occur often in Buddhist texts, in Sogdian they are found in P 2, P 3, P 7, and P 22. Since these lists belong to Indian medicine they can be largely interpreted. Here only "r'k is of immediate concern. In P 2.37–38 we have the group 'rsy "r'k $kr'\gamma$ 'piles, madness, demon-caused disease', that is, in Indian medical terms: $ar\acute{s}ah$ -, $unm\bar{a}da$ -, and graha-. In P 3.10, 11 this same term 'rsy is replaced by 'rs'ny, hence with -anx replacing older -ax from Ind. -ah(a)-.²

In P 22.19 psm'r kr'nγ r'β means the Indian apasmāra- 'epilepsy' and graha- 'disease'. In P 7.62 "pynč r'βk AWZY 'psm'r is Indian udakodara- 'dropsy' and apasmāra- 'epilepsy'. The Indian graha- 'seizer, raptor' was applied to demonic beings who caused diseases. In Ch. 00217 animal-headed demons, susi 'cat', mura 'bird', krriga 'cock', wolf, gūysna 'stag', and ox are painted with mention of the disease due to each in children.

The Sanskrit-Khotanese bilingual $J\bar{\imath}vaka$ -pustaka ⁵ has reference to graha-diseases. In the $Sit\bar{a}tapatr\bar{a}$ - $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\imath}$ ⁶ there are listed the graha- of yakṣa, $r\bar{a}kṣasa$, $piś\bar{a}ca$, $bh\bar{u}ta$, $kumbh\bar{a}nda$, putana, kaṭaputana; and the names of the $unm\bar{a}da$ -graha, $ch\bar{u}y\bar{a}$ -graha, $apasm\bar{a}ra$ -graha, and others. ⁶

In P 7.59 the compound $kr'\gamma$ ny'tk 'seized by the graha' is used. The Uigur Turkish used kr'x (also changed to k'rx) for Indian graha- in the sense of 'raptor', the planet in mythology.⁷

¹ E. Benveniste, Textes sogdiens.

² The same group -nx- occurs in the Sogdian form of nryšnx, nršnx, Parth. nrysf, Pers. nrsyh, Armen. lw. nerseh, Arab. Pers. narsai. There is -n- also in Sogd. nns 'nose', early enough to preserve the -s-. In an initial syllable we find Oss. D änsurä, I ssyr 'tusk', with Sogd. 'ns'wr 'tusk', and Av. tiži.asūra-, quoted BSOAS, xxiii, 1, 1960, 28, n. 6. The -s- of 'rsγ may come from Prakrit or be a Sogdian change from -š-. The Sogdian 'xs'yn- 'blue' with -s- where the other Iranian languages show axšaina-, may be recalled. Khotanese has from Prakrit arja-.

³ J. Jolly, Medicin, 1901, 121 apasmāra-, unmāda-, 69 graha-; English translation by C. G. Kashikar, Indian medicine, 1951.

 $^{^4}$ Edited KT, III, 135 (Stein 117.1–3). Facsimiles are printed in Eiichi Matsumoto, $Tonk\bar{o}ga$ no $kenky\bar{u},$ 1937, plates cxc, cxcı.

⁵ KT, 1, 172, Sanskrit text 89 r 3-4.

⁶ To be printed in KT, v. Parts of the Uigur text are in F. W. K. Müller, Uigurica, п, 50 ff.; and S. Malov, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Ser. B, 1930, No. 5, pp. 88–93.

⁷ G. R. Rachmati, Türkische Turfan-Texte, VII, kr'x 4.3, 63; k'rx 4.19, 25.

Khotanese made grahasta- 'demon-possessed' from graha- by its suffix -asta-.

The Sogdian "r'k is thus doubly assured in the general meaning 'wild, mad' and in the technical medical meaning 'madness, $unm\bar{a}da$ -'.

The difference between Av. ara- and Sogdian "r'k * \bar{a} raka- is comparable to the frequent short and long vowel derivatives of the type Av. baga- and $b\bar{a}ga$ -, OInd. $bh\dot{a}ga$ -s 'luck' and $bh\bar{a}g\dot{a}$ -s 'part', OPers. baga- 'distributor', Zor. Pahl. $b\bar{a}\gamma$ 'portion', $hamb\bar{a}\gamma$ 'partner'.

The sense of 'wild, mad' is expressed in Zor. Pahl. by that is, 'lk, hlk, or 'rk, hrk, for which the Pāzand reading is hala.² This Pāzand is the excellent material in the Mēnōk ī xrat and the Škand-gumānīk vičār. It is associated with dušākāsīh 'lack of intelligence' (Mx., ed. Anklesaria, 57.2), and with apēsūtīh 'uselessness, absence of profit' (ibid., 57.3). In Parsi Sanskrit we have vikala-, and grahila-. It is explained in Parsi Persian by ablah u dīvānah 'silly and mad'. If a base al- or ar- is assumed for (h)alak it can at once be compared with Avestan ara- and "r'k, as the meaning makes likely.

Here too we may bring in Ossetic DI ärrā-' wild, mad'.³ The form of ärrā, however, causes some difficulty. The short vowel ä- is like Av. ara-, unless it has been shortened from ā-. The -rr- is more irksome. The doubled -rr- occurs in contact of är- with a base beginning with r-, thus in D ärrovun 'to sweep', with ni- and gemination in D nirrezun 'tremble', and after fä-with gemination in D färresun 'to smart'. Since ärrā is in both dialects it cannot be compared with D xärnäy, I xärräy 'rag, ragged', nor with D qojrāy, I qorrāy 'pole with hook'. In D zurrut, I zyrryt 'turning' we may have a derivative of D zurun, I zyryn 'to turn'.⁴ It can then be compared with ärrā: from a verbal base formed by doubled -rr- before -ut and -ā. Elsewhere -rr- occurs in words expressive of various acts: fyrrykk 'of snorting', gärrät 'of surprise', qŭrryt 'of swallowing', särrätt, tärräst 'jump', tyrrykk 'of beating (intr.)', xärrätt 'of swift movement'. There is also the noun gŭrru 'knucklebone'.

The final $-\bar{a}$ of $\bar{a}rr\bar{a}$ must also be noticed. There are many Ossetic words ending in $-\bar{a}$ either in both dialects or in one or the other. Thus we have D $t\bar{a}rn\bar{a}$, I $t\bar{a}ryn$, tyryn 'boy' from *tarana-, Sogdian trn 'submissive', Av. tauruna-, OInd. táruṇa- 'tender'. A noun is D $\gamma un\bar{a}$, I $q\bar{u}n\bar{a}$ 'moss'. Both Digoron and Iron have $t\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ 'young tree, sprout'. It occurs also in loan-words as in D $t\bar{a}k\bar{a}$, I $t\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ 'helmet', OPers. taka-, and in $zir\bar{a}$ 'cummin', Sogd. Bud. zyr'kk (P 19.4), Georg. zirak'-i, zira, NPers. $z\bar{v}rah$.

Here, however, in $\ddot{a}rr\ddot{a}$ where we have a verbal base the most useful word

 $^{^1}$ P 2801.35, KT, III, 66 grahasta-. For -asta- note also P 3510.7.1 KBT, 51 gūttarastä ttīšastä 'having family, having brilliance 'from Ind. gotra- and tejas-. Jātakastava 21 v 1 ysirasta- 'cordial' is made from a Khotanese ysira- 'heart'.

² C. Bartholomae, Mitteliranische Mundarten, 1, 8 ff., gathered up passages known to him.

This comparison with Sogdian has now been made, though with a query, in V. Abaev, OssED, s.v. ärrā.
4 BSOAS, XXIII, 1, 1960, 34.

476 H. W. BAILEY

is D $z\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ 'fertile field' connected with the zan- of D $z\bar{a}jun$ 'to produce', ptc. $z\bar{a}d$. Similarly D $\gamma \bar{a}u\bar{a}m\bar{a}$, corresponds to I $qu\bar{a}$, $qu\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ 'of necessity' (construed with the conjunctive) from the verb D $\gamma \bar{a}un$, I $q\bar{a}un$ 'to need'.

Of earlier attempts to situate ara- may be mentioned: J. Darmesteter, ZA, II, 1892, 389 'ladre', K. Geldner, KZ, xxv, 4, 1880, 395 'niggard', H. Weller, Anahita, 145, 'schlappe Käuze' ('slack fellow'). Neither AIW nor Lommel, loc. cit., nor K. Barr, Avesta, 137, has translated the word.

If now we look outside Iranian for a cognate of this ar- 'be wild' the Baltic offers al- in Lit. aliôtis 'be foolish, mad', Let. aluôt 'to err', and with \bar{a} - Let. $\bar{a}luoti\hat{e}s$ 'be noisy', $\bar{a}la$ 'half-mad man', $\bar{a}l\hat{e}ti\hat{e}s$ 'act wildly, rage'. With this goes Greek $\check{a}\lambda\eta$ 'wandering', $\dot{a}\lambda ai\nu\omega$, $\dot{a}\lambda ai\nu\omega$ 'sweep around', with \bar{a} - $\dot{\eta}\lambda ai\nu\omega$ 'wander', $\dot{\eta}\lambda ai\nu\omega$ 'be fanciful', $\dot{a}\lambda \dot{\nu}\omega$ 'be outside oneself', $\ddot{\eta}\lambda\epsilon_0s$, $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda_0s$, $\ddot{\eta}\lambda ilos$ 'foolish', and with spiritus asper $\ddot{a}\lambda_0s$ 'in vain'.¹ For a similar development from 'wander' to 'be mad' note OInd. bhram-.

Two other Iranian words must be considered in connexion with al-OPersian has arika- which is used of a man to be punished: DB I.22 hya arika avam (h)ufrastam aprsam 'him who was arika- I punished well'; of the rebellious army: DB I.33 pasāva kāra arika abava, pasāva drauga dahyauvā vasiy abava 'then the army became arika-, then the Lie abounded in the countries'; Dareios disclaims for himself: DB IV.63 naiy arika āham, naiy draujana āham, naiy zūrakara āham 'I was not arika-, I was not false, I was not violent'.

Hitherto it has been proposed to connect OPers. arika- with Av. angra-, qsta- 'be hostile', or with OInd. ari- 'foe', or with Latin alius.² Evidently these activities would suit ar- 'to be wild'.

The second word which has always to be considered in connexion with OPers. arika- is Av. araēka-, occurring only once in Vid. 14.5: maoirinam araēkanam kutakanam dužaininam 'of the ants wild small ill-smelling', contrasted with the maoiri- dānō.karša- 'the ant carrying the grain', familiar in Firdausī mōr i dānah-kaš. The Pahlavī gloss has (ed. Spiegel) hlkwtk, (ed. Jamasp) hlkw tky kwtk. Some confusion has arisen, but it seems to contain hlk *(h)alak and kutak. The context is obscure. For the form note that -aēka-could be a double suffix of diphthong and -ka-. Such a double suffix is Av. -aēna-, Khot. -īna-a-, adj. of material or identity. Here OInd. has -īna-. A similar suffix with diphthong is OPers. kapautaka-, Balōčī kapōt, OInd. kapota-.

Hence $ara\bar{e}ka$ - could be connected with ar- 'to be wild', but further evidence is needed. A NPersian word $\bar{a}r\bar{e}\gamma$, $r\bar{e}\gamma$ 'hate' has also been cited, but its connexions are still uncertain.³

¹ Pokorny, IEW, 27; E. Fraenkel, LitEW, 7.

² R. G. Kent, Old Persian, grammar, texts, lexicon, 170, preferred a(h)rika. On arí- see TPS, 1959, 109 ff.; M. Mayrhofer, Concise etymological Sanskrit dictionary, s.v. alīká.

³ H. Hübschmann, Persische Studien, 135 (rēγ in the Šāhnāmah ed. Vullers, III, 1547, 900).
J. Wackernagel proposed to identify Av. araēka- with OInd. alīká-, as in the epithet of a snake AV 5.13.5 alīká-, see J. Wackernagel, Ai. Gram., III, p. 427.

Turning now to Old Indian this Iranian ara-, āraka-, (h)alak, offers a means of interpreting the word álakam of RV 10.71.6 in some advance beyond the hitherto unsupported contextual conjecture. Here we have: yádī śṛṇóty álakaṃ śṛṇoti 'if indeed he hears, he hears in an álaka- way', which as the next verse shows (nahí pravéda sukṛtásya pánthām 'for he knows not the path of good action') means in a wild or unintelligent way.

This same meaning suits the disputed passage RV 10.108.7 (addressed by the panáyo to Sarámā) réku padám álakam á jagantha 'you have come to the réku place in an álaka-way'. Here 'wildly', 'foolishly', or 'uselessly' would suit.¹ This accords also with Sāyaṇa who has RV 10.108.7 vyartham eva, and in RV 10.71.6 alakam alīkam vyartham eva.²

Now that ar-, al- 'to be wild 'is securely attested in Iranian the comparison of RV álaka- with this base in Baltic and Greek need not seem so remote.

Although the prospect of discovering the reason for giving the name $Alak\bar{a}$ -to the city of Kubera, is not altogether hopeful, yet the view of W. Wüst that a relation existed between RV $\dot{a}lakam$ and this name $Alak\bar{a}$ - might have some justification. The following hypothesis is perhaps worth stating.

The name of this city is transmitted variously as follows. The city of Kubera, Vaiśravaṇa, Vaiśramaṇa, is called in Buddhist Sanskrit aḍakǎvatī, ⁴ Mahāvyutpatti 4137 aṭakāvatī. A secondary form occurs in Bud. Sansk. aḍakavantā- and Khotanese aṭakavatta (or -nta). ⁵ Tibetan has in translation lcan-lo, lcan-lo-can (rendering a Sanskrit alaka- 'lock of hair'), Chinese 阿多介a-to-ko, older (K 1, 1006, Gram. Ser. rec., 349) â-tâ-kâ, 類樂迦a-lo-kia, older (K 1, 568, 342) â-lak-ka, Dharmakṣema (in China A.D. 414) 阿尼曼陀a-ni-man-t'o, older (K 1, 659, 595, 1011) â-nji-muân-d'â. In the Epic Alakā is the city, and Alakānanda the name of a river. In the list of guardian yakṣas of the Mahāmāyūrī ⁶ occurs alako alakāpure 'Alaka in the city Alakā'. A city Alakāpura- was placed in northern Bhāratavarṣa.⁷

- 1 C. C. Uhlenbeck, Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch der altindischen Sprache, compared Greek ἀλάομαι, but in order to translate by 'eilend'.
- ² P. Thieme had rightly seen (IF, L, 1, 1932, 70–2) that the meaning of RV 10.71.6 was decisive. Regretfully one must give up the proposal of W. Wüst to see in RV 10.108.7 a place name $\acute{A}laka$ connected with Ossetic $\acute{A}l\ddot{a}g\ddot{a}t\ddot{a}$. A name $\acute{A}l\ddot{a}g$, one of the $N\ddot{a}t\ddot{a}$, is known (Iron $\ddot{a}d\ddot{a}mon$ sf $\ddot{a}ldyst\ddot{a}d$, v = Pamiatniki narodnogo tvorčestva Osetin, v, 186) in the phrase N $\ddot{a}t\ddot{a}$ $\ddot{a}t\ddot{a}$ $\ddot{a}t\ddot{a}$ \ddot{b} jeun \ddot{a} \ddot{b} \ddot{a} of the N \ddot{a} tt \ddot{a} had one cow'. Ossetic -l- is of ambiguous origin, older Iranian either -t- or - $t\ddot{a}$ -.
 - ³ J. Wackernagel, Ai. Gram., 111, 427-8.
 - ⁴ Suvarnabhāsa-sūtra, ed. J. Nobel, p. 116. Toch. A 324 b 7 ādakava(ti).
- 5 H. Hoffmann, $\bar{A}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}tika$ -sūtra, pp. 55, 65, 69; Khotanese in Suvarņabhāsa-sūtra 53 v 3 (KT, 1, 237).
 - ⁶ Sylvain Lévi, JA, jan.-fév. 1915, 57.
 - ⁷ W. Kirfel, Die Kosmographie der Inder, 224 (Jaina).

If the variants are grouped in the series -d-, -t-, -l- the word could be compared with AV nadá-, RV nalá- (Khowar nol), Bud. Sansk. nada-, nata-, later Sanskrit nala-. But here an older form occurs once, RV 1.32.8 nadá-, to be placed with Avestan naδa-, Hittite nata-, Luwian natatta-.¹ The starting-point was therefore a dental -d-. A similar change has replaced RV avatá- 'well' by later avata- (below, p. 480).

The Chinese form \hat{a} -nji- $mu\hat{a}n$ - $d\hat{a}$ conceals a Prakrit form *ani- from * $ada\gamma a$ -. Here Chin. -n- (pronounced in north-west China n^a) represents dental Ind. -d-, and the -i- stands for older -ai- from - $a\gamma a$ -. This $Suvarnabh\bar{a}sa$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ $Adak\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}$ is rendered into Chinese by $\exists k$ iu-ts'ai' rich', whence the Tibetan made nor-ldan 'rich'. The ending may indicate that the - $vat\bar{\imath}$ had been replaced by - $mat\bar{\imath}$ at some stage.

In $alak\bar{a}$ - in the city name the original is thus doubtful. It could have started as * $adak\bar{a}$ -. But if the -l- was original the word $alak\bar{a}$ - could have been assimilated in sound with the later group nada- and nala-.

The name $Viṭap\bar{a}$ - and $Viṭap\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}$ - is quoted as the equivalent of $Alak\bar{a}$ -. If the names are thus synonymous a clue to what had been intended by $alak\bar{a}$ -in the place name might be reached. The Chinese translation by 'rich' may be a deduction from the riches of Kubera ($vitte\acute{s}a$ -). It may at least be allowed to note that a connexion with Indo-Iranian al-, ar- 'be wild' would equally suit the luxuriously amorous context.

A different NPers. $h\bar{a}l$ 'quiet (sb.) 'and $h\bar{a}lah$ 'quiet (adj. and sb.) 'raises questions which are not relevant here.

In Yašt 5.93 there remain the two words drva- and ranha-.

The context has a triad anda-, karəna-, and drva- in which one would expect 'blind, deaf, dumb'. This triad occurs in Khotanese E 6.101 hana-, $k\bar{a}rra$ -, and muta-. Excluded from the Buddhist Theravāda community (sangha-) were the andha-, $m\bar{u}ga$ -, and badhira-, 'the blind, dumb, and deaf'.

But a lexical basis for such a conjecture for drva-remains to be found. Khotanese has E 5.117 drutāta used of ājiviṣa 'snakes' for which 'grausig' has been offered from the context. No base drav- from which by suffix -á-Av. drva- could come in the precise sense of 'dumb' is to hand. But some connexion can be suggested. There is a base drav-: dru-'to treat violently' as probably in the Old Khot. drutāta used of snakes, just cited, and with the frequent -s- enlargement in drau-š-: dru-š-'to treat violently, maim' attested in Avestan draoša- and in the mountain name draošišvant-; Zor. Pahl. drōša, Paz. drūš, rendered by Parsi Sanskrit cheda-'cutting off'; Zor. Pahl. drōšak

¹ H. Lüders, 'Αντιδωρον Wackernagel, 302, reprinted Philologica Indica (needing revision); H. W. Bailey, TPS, 1952, 61 ff. (add Waxi $n \circ d$, Pahl. Psalter n'dy; NPers. $n\bar{a}l$ from Sogdian, W. B. Henning, BSOS, x, 1, 1939, 98; Sangl. $niy\bar{o}k$).

² On north-western Prakrit, Kroraina, -aģa-, see T. Burrow, The language of the Kharosthī documents from Chinese Turkestan, 6, 23; H. W. Bailey, BSOAS, XIII, 1, 1949, 131.

³ Ed. Nobel, p. 116.

⁴ E. Washburn Hopkins, Epic mythology, 142, n. 4.

⁵ Pali *Vinaya*, 1, 91, 15.

used of some violent treatment. The participle is Zor. Pahl. društak (DkM 402.15; 405.4, 5, 9; 419.3; 433.13, 15) 'violated', and NPers. durušt 'rough, fierce'. With -z- occurs Oss. D ärdozun, ärdust 'to mutilate'. I should now connect Avestan draoša- with Zor. Pahl. droš 'cutting off', thus improving upon the proposal to see here droš- 'to brand' (see BSOS, vi, 3, 1931, 594 ff.).

By the frequent suffix - α - (of the type Avestan frya-, OInd. priya-'beloved', on which see A. Debrunner, BSOS, vIII, 2-3, 1936, 487 ff.) a passive *druva- in Avestan drva- could give 'mutilated', and thence be narrowed to mean 'maimed of tongue, dumb'. Such a connexion in meanings can be seen in German stumm 'dumb', verstummen 'be silent', and verstümmen 'maim', though from a different starting-point. Cf. Greek $\pi \bar{a} \rho \delta s$ 'maimed, blind'.

Earlier attempts to translate drva- are by AIW 'dwarf' as a cognate of OHG twerg; and by W. Krogmann, who offered 'aussätzig', as connected with OInd. dardru- and dadrú- 'kind of skin disease', OEngl. teter 'tetter', and Lit. dirvà 'seed field'.¹ With this he joined Vid. 20.3 druka-, v.l. duruka-, for which no gloss in Pahlavī is known. It occurs in a list of diseases. Yidya lurvë 'ill' and lərovə 'illness' have been traced to *a-drav-.²

The ranha- of Yašt 5.93 occurs with mūra- and ara- which both mean defective of the mind. If this is a triad the ranha- must be sought in the same context. Possibly it contains a base *rah- 'be excited' like the OEngl. rāsan 'to rush headlong', Germ. rasen 'to rave, rage'. This is ultimately traced to the same base as Lat. errāre 'to err, wander', Got. airzeis 'erring'. But it would evidently be desirable to find a closer cognate in Iranian. For ar- 'be wild' as above, associated with Baltic al-, it would be possible to assume an Indo-Iranian -s- derivative *arš- and *ras-. Avestan ranha- is ambiguous. We find -anha- for older -anga- or for -aha-. AIW proposed the Indian base sras- 'to fall' giving an Iran. *(h)rah-; he then offered the rendering 'epileptic', which if this base was Iranian could go well with ara- 'wild'.3 A further possibility is that in ranha- we have the adjective to the verb rānhaya- 'to apostatize', which might fittingly have been considered a 'wildness'.

3. pandara-

It is well known that Ossetic D k'undäg, I k'ŭndäg 'deficient, narrow', k'undägdzinād 'lack, impoverishment', DI änäk'undäg 'spacious, spaciousness', NPers. kund 'blunt', Armen. kound, kndak, kount, kntak 'bare (of leaves or hair)', Balōčī kunt 'blunt' are cognate with OInd. Sansk. kuntha- 'blunt',

¹ Zeits. f. vergleich. Sprachforschung, LXV, 1-2, 1938, 142. Darmesteter gave 'méchant', as equivalent of drvant-.

² G. Morgenstierne, IIFL, 11, 224.

³ Lommel, loc. cit., p. 40, and K. Barr, Avesta, 137, omit both drva- and ranha-. H. Weller, Anahita, 145, followed AIW.

⁴ Digoron k'undäg occurs in Māliti Geu
ärgi, Iräf, 60 : dālä komi i-k'undägi nicäjsā γ däj sā
u k'äjdor.

480 H. W. BAILEY

Pali kuntha- 'blunt, lame, bent', kunda- 'bent', all of which express some particular form of deficiency, except the Ossetic 'deficient' remaining unspecified.¹

It has also been pointed out that Armen. pind 'compact', stem *pindo-, is likely to be a loan-word from Iranian and cognate with OInd. pinda-'lump'.²

The Indo-European type is attested in Greek $\kappa i\omega$ 'move' and $\kappa i\nu \delta$ - in $\kappa i\nu \delta a \xi$ 'mobile'.

This material indicated that Indo-Aryan had innovated. In the Indo-Aryan language separated as a colonial speech by greater distance from its base, the older nt, nd single group had fissioned into two: nt, nd maintained and nt, nd developed.

Such fission is found in Ossetic in similar circumstances. Here Old Iranian k, t, p have separated into aspirate k', t', p' and ejective k', t', p' in accord with the Caucasian phonematic structure.

The separation occurs in Old Indian also in single sounds. Thus we have -d- and -d- in RV nadá- 'reed' and naļá-, AV naḍá-; and later nala-.³ Similarly RV avatá- 'well' is in later Vedic Kātyāyana-śrauta-sūtra avaṭa-. It is the same with -n- in RV veni- 'braid' attested in suvents fem. nom. plur. beside later venī-. At times only the one later form is used in our texts. Thus RV sthūnā- 'pillar' corresponds to Iranian OPers. stūnā-, Av. stūnā-, Khot. stunā, Zor. Pahl. stūn, Turfan Mid. Pers. 'stwn, NPers. sitūn, sutūn. Similarly Avestan mani- in (zarənu-mainis' golden-necked eagle'), Zor. Pahl., NPers. man in dāl-man 'eagle' belongs with RV máni- '(neck-)ornament', as in mani-grīvá- in both Rigveda and Atharvaveda.

When therefore we find Iranian pand- and Old Indian pand-, both referring to the mental state of cerebration, it is necessary to ask if they are in any way related.

Khotanese Saka has a word pandara- which from the contexts means 'foolish'. The passages are the following:

E 2.134 hanäna vajsäţandai ṣṭāna pandaḍaru karaṇu yäḍaimä ce aysu ggaru bendä sumīrä uskujätemä haḍāya ṣṭāni

That is, 'I, though a man with sight, did a feat more foolish than a blind man, in that I attacked Mount Sumeru, and that by day'.

The verb uskuśde, uskuj- is a difficulty. From the contexts E 12.50, 13.70, and 13.79 it will mean with bendä and vīri some kind of attack.⁴ It contains a base (s)kauk- or kaug-. A base kauk- occurs in askhaukara- ⁵ 'protuberance,

¹ TPS, 1955, 72 ff. ² ibid., 72 ff. ³ Above, p. 478.

⁴ The verb E 13.75 uskūsde is different and has kauš-, as pyūsde is from *patigaušatai. It renders Sansk. auddhatyam dravam prāviskaroti 'he acts frivolously '.

⁵ Siddhasāra 138 r 4, cf. 143 r 3.

utsedha' comparable with Lit. kaukarà 'hill', but the -k- preserved is unusual. Probably Khot. haṃgūjsa- 'fear' contains kauk-, connected with OInd. kocati 'draw together'.

The second passage is Jātakastava 27 r 1-2:

ṣa aramña īyarai pamda satva, lobhe udiśāye byehāysām pyamtsya, gude ttanīyā tvīṣe yudāmde buvāmde guśta, arnīñe dasti varī śamdya pasta

That is, 'the ungrateful inferior foolish 1 man through greed before the hunters told of it. Then they destroyed you and distributed the flesh. His hands up to the elbows fell at once to the ground'.

This passage needs some commentary. In aramña 'ungrateful' we have the later form of Sansk. akṛtajña-; īyarai is Suvarṇabhāsa-sūtra 31 b 4 īyatara- 'inferior' contrasting with mästa- 'great' in referring to a kingdom. In arnīñe I would now see the adj. suffix -īnaa- with *arna- 'elbow' from *araθni-, as in Oss. D ärinā, I ärin 'cubit' (in compounds with D cāng- and I ärm-, ālm-), the familiar OPers. arašni-, Av. arəθna-, NPers. araš, raš, āran, aran, and others, OInd. aratní-.

A fragment, to be printed in KT, v, contains $pamdar\ddot{a}$: H vii 150, 16 a 2 $\langle cu \rangle$ śtā rakṣayo $pamdar\ddot{a}$ $hv\bar{\imath}nde$. The context is lost but it will mean 'who necessarily is called *mischievous or foolish'. Here rakṣayo is from * $rakṣay\ddot{a}$ o, the o 'or' being as often written to the preceding syllable. In this rakṣ- may be preserved a cognate of Avestan raš-' to injure'.

The word of interest here is Old Khotanese pandadara- 'more foolish' and later Khotanese panda. The ending -dara- arises from older -ra-tara-with the comparative suffix -tara- added to a stem in -ra-. This can be seen also in mangādara 'older', budara- 'more', ttuvadara- 'further'. The double comparative -tadara- is found in mistadara- 'greater' and hastadara- 'better'.

The later Khotanese pamda is for older *pamdara or *pamdaru with absence of the syllable -ra, -ru. This is familiar in hasta padīna 'in a better way', hasta khu 'better than', hasta padī 'better way', the frequent pha for older pharu 'much', and padāmda with older padāmdara 'former'.

The group -nd- maintained causes a difficulty in a Khotanese word. In other words we have -nd- from -nt- as in dandaa- 'tooth', but -n- from -nd- as in ganama- 'wheat'. Here it is then necessary to accept a second treatment of older -nd- maintained as -nd-. This is required also in Khot. caṃdana- 'brilliant'. We find also baṃdana- 'bandage'.

¹ Earlier compared with Zor. Pahl. pan- 'niggardly'.

² KT, 11, 66, no. 30, 6.

³ Ch. 0048.30 (KBT, 73) and Kha 1.306b, v 3 (KBT, 8), from tara-tara-.

⁴ KT, II, 75, 49 (and Asia Major, NS, II, 1, 1951, 30); KT, II, 8, 124; KT, III, 135, a3, 5; KT, IV, 92, 8, 3

⁵ BSOAS, XIII, 4, 1951, 926-30; JRAS, 1942, 14-28; 1949, 2-4.

⁶ Siddhasāra, 155 r 3.

The suffix -ara- of pandara- can be pointed out in Khot. askhaukara 'protuberance, utsedha', as Lit. kaukarà 'hill', maysdara- 'nipple', ttaramdara- 'body', and earlier Avestan dvanara-, sidara-.

It is important now to adduce Armenian pandoyr 'foolish'. The word has two forms pandoyr and pandorr, occurring also with abstract suffix pandourout'iun, pandorrout'iun.¹ It is attested in the Commentary of Oskeberan of the fifth century.

A second word with the same two forms is Armen. andoyr, andorr 'quiet', occurring in the OT translation.² For -oyr can be cited also Armen. sngoyr 'rouge, paint', and sngourem 'to paint' used to render Greek φῦκος 'rouge'.³ It can be compared with OPers. sinkabruš,⁴ Elam. šinqabruš, Akkad. ṣinga.ru 'red stone', in Kroraina Prakrit sặnapru can be compared,⁵ with NPers. šangarf. This would indicate in sngoyr an older *singaura- with -aura- from -abru-. A further Armenian word with -oyr is paroyr 'turn', verbal parourem.

In Middle Iranian where -au-, -ava-, and -aba- are identified the suffix $-\bar{o}r$ is of ambiguous origin. In Zor. Pahlavī both spellings -wr- and -br- may be
found as in $wx\check{s}wr$ * $vax\check{s}avar$ 'prophet' and dstwbr * $dasta\beta ar$ 'teacher'. In
Sogdian the two (w,b) were kept distinct. OInd. $ki\check{s}ora$ - 'young living being'
has -ora-.6 Ossetic has D sk' $or\ddot{a}$ 'soot' beside I $s\ddot{a}g$ attesting a base sak- or sank-.

With the suffix $-\bar{a}ra$ - we have NPers. $\bar{s}ang\bar{a}r$, Arab. $\bar{s}in\bar{j}\bar{a}r$ 'anchusa tinctoria' which may belong to this same group.⁷

A base sink- varying with sank- would join these various colour words.

If now the Khotanese *pandara*- and Armenian *pandoyr* as loan-word are associated the meaning of the Khotanese word is confirmed.

A reference must now be inserted to the way in which originally neutral meanings have often developed to good or bad senses. Thus from the base men- 'think' we find OInd. mánas- 'thinking', Av. manah- with no bad connotation, but OInd. manyú- 'anger', Greek μένος; beside Avestan mainyu- 'spirit', and Greek μαίνομαι, μανία. The most neutral base kar- 'do' has given Khot. kädägāna- 'evil act', Bud. Sogdian 'krt'ny 'evil act', but Parth. krtkny 'service' of the Yazdān and Mid. Pers. kltk'n in the same sense.8 OInd. vanús- has both meanings. Thus RV 4.44.3 rtásya vanúse is 'for

¹ Nor bargirk haykazean lezoui, 1836; H. Adjarian, AEW, s.v. It would suffice to take -ŏrr as due to metathesis from -ōr by shortened vowel and lengthened consonant.

² Etymologically uncertain. Adjarian, loc. cit. suggested connexion with diur 'ease; easy'. If the word should turn out to be Iranian a connexion with a base IE sed-, and nasalized send-will be likely. The Latin $s\bar{e}d\bar{a}re$ 'to quieten', and Celtic Welsh hawdd 'easy' (usually associated with Lat. sedeo 'sit') show how such a meaning could develop. Vedic $\bar{a}sandi$ -'seat' attests an early sand-.

³ Hübschmann, Armenische Grammatik, 238.

⁴ R. G. Kent, Old Persian, rendered by 'carnelian'.

⁵ BSOAS, xI, 4, 1946, 781-2.

⁶ This may be cognate with Oss. D kizgä, I čyzg 'girl'.

⁷ Quoted in Hübschmann, AG, 238.

⁸ Parthian in Šāhpuhr I inscription 30 θρησκεία τῶν θεῶν; Persian in KZ 8 kltk'n ZY yzd'n.

the one devoted to truth', but RV 7.82.1 vanuṣyati is rendered by Sāyaṇa jighāṃsati (Geldner, Übersetzung, 'sucht zu überbieten'), and 7.56.19 vanuṣyato, Sāyaṇa hiṃsakāt, Geldner 'neidisch'. Latin uenus has the good sense. A good case too can be seen in Av. haz- in the bad sense 'to rob' beside OInd. sahate 'be victor' in an approved activity.

In Khotanese *pandara*- we have the pejorative 'using the mind ill, foolish'. If we turn to Indo-Aryan the approved sense is found.

In the Śatapathabrāhmaṇa (14.6.4.1, and 9.4.16.17) and in the Chāndogya and Muṇḍaka upaniṣads, as also in Pāṇini's text, we have a word panḍitá'intelligent'.¹ The late attestation of, for example, $par\acute{u}t$ 'last year', in Pāṇini, beside Greek $\pi\acute{e}\rho\nu\sigma\iota$ shows that a word appearing in later Vedic is not necessarily late in origin. It would, however, easily allow time for the fission of an Indo-Iranian pand- into two forms pand- and panḍ- and the elimination of the former.

The meaning of $pandit\acute{a}$ - is 'having used the mind well, intelligent', thence 'learned'. It contrasts with $b\bar{a}l\acute{a}$ - 'young, foolish'. The suffix -ita- is found added to verbal bases or to nominal derivatives and either could be accepted here. If Khot. pandara- is compared with OInd. $pandit\acute{a}$ - we note the different suffixes.

The presence of pandita in the Satapathabrahmana makes it very difficult to accept the double shift from $praj\tilde{n}a$ to *panna and thence to *panda required by the theory of Prakrit origin.²

Although I would choose to explain the different meanings of Khot. pandaraand OInd. panditá- by divergent good and bad senses from a neutral 'cerebration', it should be noted that the words might have been caught up in the religious movements which distinguished the meanings of Avestan daēva-, and OPers. daiva- from OInd. devá-.

Reluctance to leave the connexions there prompts one to point out that a base *pand- used of 'understanding' could be joined with the Indo-European pend- attested in Lat. pendo 'to weigh, estimate, pay' from which French made penser 'to think', and from which English has perpend. Note how Ossetic $\ddot{a}mb\ddot{a}run$ 'to understand' may be connected with $b\ddot{a}run$ 'to weigh', and such development as German wägen and erwägen. This Lat. pendo has been listed under a base (s)pen(d)-.3

¹ M. Mayrhofer, loc. cit., discusses possible origin in Dravidian, Austric, and Prakrit.

² Mayrhofer, loc. cit.; P. Tedesco, JAOS, LXXX, 4, 1960, 361-2.

³ Pokorny, *IEW*, 988.