Mojmal (p. 33) Bābak claimed Ardašīr as his son, from fear of revealing his true lineage to the Parthians. The Gorar of Taʻālebī (p. 474), however, tells us that Sāsān was an officer of Bābak and his son-in-law, but Sāsān died early so Ardašīr was raised by his grandfather Bābak who sent the boy to the court of Ardavān where he remained until Bābak died.

It is hardly possible to determine which version about Ardašīr's parentage is correct, but the suspicion that Ṭabarī simply assumes that Sāsān is the father of Bābak is great. In the great trilingual inscription of Šāpūr I at Naqš-e Rostam near Persepolis (ed. A. Maricq, Syria 35, 1958, pp. 318-19 [= Classica et Orientalia, Paris, 1965]), Sāsān is merely a lord (Parth. hwtwy) while Bābak is a king (MLKA), Greek kúrios and basiléōs respectively (Greek 1.46, Mid. Pers. 1. 25, Parth. 1. 20). At the same time, the dynasty was called Sasanian, and not Babakian, as we see from the Paikuli inscription where the family or "seed of the Sasanians" is mentioned (ed. P. O. Skjærvø in H. Humbach and P. O. Skjærvø, The Sassanian Inscription of Paikuli III/1, Wiesbaden, 1983, p. 65 par. 80, Parth. 1. 36, and pp. 122f.). Consequently, early in Sasanian rule Sāsān was considered the ancestor of the dynasty.

In any case Bābak was a local ruler in Fārs, with his capital at Istakhr (Estakr), who revolted against his Parthian overlord, probably in 205-06, as we may infer from a Mid. Pers. inscription on a pillar from Bīšāpūr (cf. R. Ghirshman, "Inscription du monument de Châpour Ier," Revue des Arts Asiatiques 10, 1937, pp. 123-29). As far as we know Bābak did not strike any coins, for those with his name on them, together with the name Ardašīr, were most probably issued by the latter (R. Göbl, Sasanidische Numismatik, Braunschweig, 1968, p. 42 and table I). Other than his name on coins and in later inscriptions, we have no contemporary sources on Bābak and are forced to rely on much later accounts, the most complete of which is the history of Tabarī (I, pp. 813ff.), presumably based for the most part on the lost Xwadāy-nāmag, or official history compiled under the Sasanians (see, e.g., M. Boyce in HO I, IV: Iranistik II: Literatur, Lief. 1, pp. 57ff.). According to Tabari, Bābak was the ruler of Kīr (a district to the south of Istakhr on the edge of the salt lake, Baktagān). He continues that the wife of Sāsān, his father, called Rāmbehešt, was from the Bāzrangī (q.v.; Ar. al-Bāzranjī) family which ruled Fārs province, presumably as vassals of the Parthian rulers. Further, Sāsān became the director (qayyem) of the fire-temple in Istakhr which was called the fire-temple of Anāhīd. Bābak was born from Sāsān and Rāmbehešt. Bābak had long hair at his birth, a sign of future greatness. Later he followed his father Sāsān in rule and Ardašīr was his son. Tabarī continues that the king of Istakhr at the birth of Ardašīr was called Jūzehr (or Jozehr, Mid. Pers. Gōčihr) of the family of Bāzrangī. When Ardašīr was seven years old Bābak asked Jūzehr who resided at that time in the town of Bayżā, northwest of Istakhr, to give him to the care of his eunuch Tīrē who was in charge of the town of Dārābjerd. After subduing several local lords Ardašīr

wrote to his father to revolt against Jūzehr, which he did and killed him. Then Bābak wrote to Ardavān (Artabanus), his Parthian overlord, requesting permission to grant the crown of Jūzehr to Bābak's son Šāpūr. The Parthian ruler refused but Bābak died and his son Šāpūr succeeded him (see also E. Herzfeld, *Paikuli* I, Berlin, 1924, pp. 35-36).

This account by Ṭabarī is the most detailed we have about Bābak but we can not check its veracity. No coins attributed to Gōčihr or to Bābak have been identified and the graffiti of a Sasanian prince on the wall of the Harem building, now the museum, at Persepolis, may or may not represent Bābak (see E. Schmidt, *Persepolis* I, Chicago, 1953, p. 258), but the style of clothes and crown, in any case, is very early Sasanian.

Bibliography: Given in the text. On the name see also E. Benveniste, Titres et noms propres en iranien ancien, Paris, 1966, p. 17, where it is suggested that Pāpakān should be interpreted as "(son) of Pāp," a name attested in Pahlavi and Armenian. More probably both Pāp and Pāpak formed the patronym Pāpakān.

(R. N. FRYE)

BĀBAK ĶORRAMĪ (d. Ṣafar, 223/January, 838), leader of the Korramdīnī or Korramī uprising in Azerbaijan in the early 3rd/9th century which engaged the forces of the caliph for twenty years before it was crushed in 222/837.

The fullest account of Bābak's career comes from a lost $A\underline{k}b\bar{a}r$ $B\bar{a}bak$ by Wāqed b. 'Amr Tamīmī, which is quoted in the *Fehrest* of Ebn al-Nadīm (ed. Flügel, pp. 406-07) and was probably used by Maqdesī (*Bad*' VI, pp. 114-18; see Sadighi, p. 234). Other accounts are less detailed and show variations.

The name Bābak is found in all the sources, but Mas'ūdī also says that "Bābak's name was Hasan" (Morūj VII, p. 130, ed. Pellat, IV, sec. 2814). The statements about his parentage and background are unclear and inconsistent, sometimes fantastic and incredible. His father's name is variously given as Merdas/Merdās (Sam'ānī, ed. Margoliouth, fol. 56a); 'Abd-Allāh, a native of Madā'en (Fehrest, p. 406); Matar, a vagabond (men al-sa'ālīk); Tabarī, III, p. 1232); and 'Amer b. Ahad from the Sawad region who had gone to Ardabīl (Abu'l-Ma'ālī, chap. 5). According to Waged, however, 'Abd-Allah, Babak's father, was a cooking-oil vendor who had left his home town Mada'en for the Azerbaijan frontier zone and settled in the village of Belālābād in the Maymad district. His mother, according to Fasīh (I, p. 283), was a one-eyed woman named Māhrū from a village in a district belonging to Azerbaijan. On the one hand the stories about 'Abd-Allāh and Matar may imply that Bābak's father had an illicit relationship with this woman, but on the other hand Dīnavarī (p. 397) asserts: "What seems to us to be true and proven is that Bābak was a son of Motahhar, the son of Abū Moslem's daughter Fātema, and that the Fātemīya group of the Korramīs took their name from this Fātema, not from

Fāṭema the daughter of God's Prophet." In Mas'ūdī's *Morūj* (ed. Pellat, IV, p. 144, sec. 2398) Bābak is described simply as one of the Fāṭemīya group of the Korramīs.

In most of these accounts, other than Dinavari's, a note of sarcasm and hostility can be perceived. Our information about Bābak and his revolt comes almost entirely from adversaries. Merdās is the name of Zahhāk's father in Ferdowsi's Šāh-nāma, probably meaning "man-eater" (mard-ās; see R. Roth, "Die Sage von Dschemschid," ZDMG 4, 1850, pp. 417-33, esp. p. 423), however, this view was rejected by Nöldeke, who considered Merdas to be the same as Arabic Merdas (see Zereklī and Dehkodā, s.v. Merdās); its attribution to Bābak may be a disguised reference to his and his henchmen's readiness to kill their enemies (Zarrīnkūb, 1355, p. 237). The coupling of his mother's name Māhrū "Belle" with the description "one-eyed" also looks like a sneer. There is no means of knowing whether the kinship with Abū Moslem, considered probable by Dīnavarī, was a fact or a pretense designed by Bābak (as by other rebel leaders) to gain support among people who cherished Abū Moslem's memory (G.-H. Yūsofī, Abū Moslem, sardār-e Korāsān, Tehran, 1345 Š./1966, pp. 175-78, 165f.), or whether it was subsequently invented to argue a link between Abū Moslem's and Bābak's revolts or to explain the Korramī veneration for Abū Moslem (cf. Nezām-al-Molk, pp. 359, 367-68). Dīnavarī's mention of a Korramī group named Fätemīya after Abū Moslem's daughter and of Bābak's membership of it is repeated in Ta'rīk Bagdad (X, p. 207; see also Madelung, pp. 63-64, 65; Amoretti, pp. 503ff.).

According to Waqed, Babak's father, after the birth of Bābak, died from wounds suffered in a fight during a journey to the Sabalan district. His widow then earned her living as a wet-nurse for other people's infants, while Bābak worked as a cowherd until he was twelve years old. We are told that one afternoon his mother saw Bābak asleep under a tree, stark naked and with blood at the root of every hair on his head and chest; but when he woke and stood up, she saw no trace of blood and said, "I know that my son has a great task ahead" (Fehrest, p. 406; Maqdesī, Bad' VI, pp. 114f.; 'Awfī, pt. 1, chap. 5). Waqed adds that Babak in his youth worked as a groom and servant for Šebl b. Monaqqī (Motannā?) at the village of Sarāt (Sarāb?) and learned to play the tanbūr (drum or mandolin). This must be the source of the statement by Abu'l-Ma'ālī (chap. 5, p. 299) that Bābak used to play the tanbūr and sing songs for the people while working as a fruit vendor in the village. When he had grown up he went to Tabrīz, where he spent two years in the service of Mohammad b. Rawwad Azdī (q.v.) before returning at the age of eighteen to his home at Belālābād.

Wāqed's account of what happened next is, in summary, as follows. Two rich men named Jāvīdān b. Šahrak (or Sahrak) and Abū 'Emrān were then living in the highland around the mountain of Badd and contending for the leadership of the highland's Korramī

inhabitants. Jāvīdān, when stuck in the snow on his way back from Zanjān to Badd, had to seek shelter at Belālābād and happened to go into the house of Bābak's mother. Being poor, she could only light a fire for him, while Bābak looked after the guest's servants and horses and brought water for them. Jāvīdān then sent Bābak to buy food, wine, and fodder. When Bābak came back and spoke to Jāvīdān, he impressed Jāvīdān with his shrewdness despite his lack of fluency of speech. Jāvīdān therefore asked the woman for permission to take her son away to manage his farms and properties, and offered to send her fifty dirhams a month from Bābak's salary. The woman accepted and let Bābak go. It must have been then that he joined the Korramīs.

In the *Fehrest* and elsewhere, Jāvīdān b. Šahrak is said to have been Bābak's teacher. From 192/807-08 until 201/816-17 he led a Korramī group named Jāvīdānī after him (Ya'qūbī, *Boldān*, p. 272; Mas'ūdī, *Tanbīh*, pp. 321-22; Ebn al-Atīr, repr., VI, p. 328; Ebn al-'Ebrī (Bar Hebraeus), p. 139; Ebn Kaldūn, events of 201/817; Faṣīḥ, I, p. 270; see also G. Flügel, p. 539 nn. 2, 3, and Sadighi, pp. 107ff.).

Sometime after Bābak's entry into Jāvīdān's service, the rival chieftain Abū 'Emrān sallied forth from his mountain stronghold against Jāvīdān and was defeated and killed, but Jāvīdān died three days after the battle from a wound. Some of the writers allege that Jāvīdān's wife was already enamored of Bābak, who is said to have been a handsome lad with a good voice (Abu'l-Ma'ālī, chap. 5, p. 300). This allegation may have its root in the marriage of the two after Jāvīdān's death (see Sadighi, p. 244). The woman told Babak of her husband's death and added that she was going to announce it to the community the next day, when she would also claim Bābak as Jāvīdān's successor, who would restore the religion of Mazdak and lead the community to triumph and prosperity. On the following day Bābak appeared before Jāvīdān's assembled warriors and followers. When they asked why Jāvīdān had not summoned them before uttering his last testament, she answered that since they lived in scattered places, sending out the message would have spread the news, which in turn might have compromised their security. After securing their obedience to Jāvīdān's instructions, she said that according to Jāvīdān's last testament the night before, his soul would upon his death enter Babak's body and fuse with his soul (the Korramīs believed in the transmigration of souls, see KORRAMDĪNĀN), and that anyone contesting this testament should be excommunicated. All those present acknowledged Jāvīdān's mandate to the young man, and at the woman's request they bound themselves by a ritual oath to give the same allegiance to Bābak's soul as they had given to Jāvīdān's soul. Then Jāvīdān's widow married Bābak in a simple ceremony in the presence of all (Fehrest, pp. 406-07; on the role of this woman and the position of women in Babak's revolt in general, see Amoretti, pp. 517-18, 508). Abu'l-Ma'ālī (chap. 5, p. 300) alleges that the woman poisoned Jāvīdān, while Țabarī (III, p. 1192) and Ebn al-Atīr (VI, p. 459) state

that Jāvīdān had a son (Ebn Jāvīdān) whom the Muslims had captured and later released; Sadighi (pp. 244-45) wonders why this son was not chosen to succeed Jāvīdān. Wāqed and Ṭabarī depict Bābak as low-born, but Bābak's reply to his son's letter after his escape, and the words of his brother 'Abd-Allāh to Ebn Šarvīn Ṭabarī, the officer appointed to take him to Baghdad (Ṭabarī, III, pp. 1221, 1223), suggest that they were of noble family (Sadighi, pp. 239-41).

Bābak must have absorbed ideas and beliefs current among the Korramīs after his entry into Jāvīdān's service and adhesion to the sect. The epithet Korrami or Korramdīn given to Bābak in the sources denotes membership of this sect. The name has been explained as referring to Korrama, the wife of Mazdak (Sīāsatnāma, p. 319; Mojmal al-tawārīk, p. 354) or to a village named Korram near Ardabīl (surmise of Nasr quoted by Yāqūt, Mo'jam II, p. 362), but these attributions are questionable. Other writers take korram to be the adjective normally meaning "verdant" or "joyous" and interpret it as "permissive" or "libertine." Korramdīn appears to be a compound analogous to dorostdin (orthodox) and Behdīn ("Zoroastrian"; see Sadighi, p. 195; Nafīsī. p. 21; Madelung, p. 63), and since joy was one of the forces governing the world in the Mazdakite religion (see Yarshater, pp. 1005-06), the name Korramdīn appears to confirm the assertion in several sources that the sect was an offshoot of Mazdakism (Mas'ūdī, Tanbīh, p. 322; Fehrest, pp. 405-06; Sīāsat-nāma, p. 319; Mojmal, pp. 353-54; Abu'l-Ma'ālī, chap. 5, p. 300; see also Sadighi, pp. 187f., 197; Yarshater, pp. 1003-04; and Nafīsī, p. 21). Many modern scholars regard them as "neo-Mazdakites" (e.g., Madelung, p. 64; Amoretti, p. 503; Yarshater, p. 1011; Zarrīnkūb, 1343 Š./1964, p. 544). Under Bābak's leadership the Korramis, who are described as having been before Bābak's time peaceful farmers, refraining from killing or harming other people (Magdesī, Bad' IV, pp. 30-31; Fehrest, p. 406; 'Awfi, pt. 1, chap. 5), changed into militants eager to fight and kill, to seize or destroy villages, and to raid caravans (Dīnavarī, p. 397: Țabarī, s.a. 220/835; Abu'l-Ma'ālī, chap. 5). Bābak incited his followers to hate the Arabs and rise in rebellion against the caliphal regime. The reports state that Bābak called men to arms, seized castles and strong points, and ordered his warriors to kill people and destroy villages, thereby barring roads to his enemies and spreading fear. Gradually a large multitude joined him. There had long been groups of Korramis scattered in Isfahan, Azerbaijan, Ray, Hamadan, Armenia, Gorgan, and elsewhere, and there had been some earlier Korramī revolts, e.g., in Gorgān jointly with Red Banner (Sork-'alamān) Bātenīs in the caliph Mahdī's reign in 162/778-79, when 'Amr b. 'Alā', the governor of Tabarestan, was ordered to repulse them, and at Isfahan, Ray, Hamadan, and elsewhere in Harun al-Rašīd's realm, when 'Abd-Allāh b. Mālek and Abū Dolaf 'Ejlī put them down on the caliph's behalf (Sīāsatnāma, pp. 359-60; Faṣīḥ, I, pp. 230-31; cf. Madelung, p. 64; Amoretti, pp. 504-05); but none had the scale and

duration of Bābak's revolt, which pinned down caliphal armies for twenty years. After his emergence, the Korramī movement was centered in Azerbaijan and reinforced with volunteers from elsewhere, probably including descendants of Abū Moslem's supporters and other enemies of the 'Abbasid caliphate. The figures given for the strength of Bābak's army, such as 100,000 men (Abu'l-Ma'ālī), 200,000 (Mas'ūdī, *Tanbīh*, p. 323), or innumerable (*Tabṣerat al-'awāmm*, p. 184; Baḡdādī, p. 267) are doubtless highly exaggerated but at least indicate that it was large.

In most of the sources the start of Bābak's revolt is placed in the year 201/816-17 in al-Ma'mūn's reign, when the Korramis began to infiltrate neighboring districts and create insecurity in Azerbaijan. On or before that date, according to some sources. Hatem b. Hartama, the governor of Armenia, learned that his father Hartama b. A'yan had, despite loyal service to al-Ma'mūn, been flogged and imprisoned on the caliph's order and been killed in prison at the behest of the minister Fazl b. Sahl (Tabarī, II, p. 1026). Hātem b. Hartama therefore planned to rebel and wrote letters to local commanders urging them to defy al-Ma'mūn, but at this juncture he died. One of those to whom he wrote was Bābak (or probably Jāvīdān), who was greatly encouraged thereby (Ebn Qotayba, p. 198; Ya'qūbī, II, p. 563; Sadighi, p. 238 n. 3).

Al-Ma'mūn at first paid scant attention to Bābak's revolt, evidently because he was living in distant Khorasan and preoccupied with matters such as the designation of his successor, the actions of Fażl b. Sahl, and the backlash at Baghdad. Thus contemporary circumstances as well as popular dislike of Arab rule favored Bābak and his followers.

In 204/819-20 al-Ma'mūn moved to Iraq, and after dealing with the dissidents at Baghdad, he sent Yahvā b. Mo'ād to subdue Bābak's revolt. This general fought Bābak in several battles but without success. Thereafter al-Ma'mūn showed more concern and regularly dispatched well-armed forces to Azerbaijan. In 205/820-21 Tsā b. Moḥammad b. Abī Kāled was appointed governor of Armenia and Azerbaijan with responsibility for operations against Bābak, but his force was caught and smashed by Bābak's men in a narrow defile. Isā either ran for his life or was killed by Bābak (Ṭabarī, III, p. 1072). In 209/824-25 al-Ma'mūn chose Zorayq b. 'Alī b. Şadaqa (Şadaqa b. 'Alī in Tabarī, 'Alī b. Şadaqa known as Zorayq according to Ebn al-Atīr) to govern Armenia and Azerbaijan and organize the war, and put Ahmad b. Jonayd Eskāfī in command of an expedition against Bābak. Ahmad b. Jonayd was taken prisoner by Bābak while Zorayq failed to prosecute the war, and al-Ma'mun then put Ebrāhīm b. Layt b. Fazl in charge. In 212/827-28 the caliph sent a force under Mohammad b. Homayd Tūsī to punish Zoraya. who had rebelled, and to subdue Bābak. This general succeeded after some delay in capturing Zorayq and dispersing his group of rebels and then, having obtained reinforcements and made thorough preparations, set out against Bābak. In the contest between them, which went

on for six months, Mohammad b. Homayd won several victories, but in the last battle in 214/829 his troops, who in compliance with his strategy had advanced three parasangs into the mountains, were attacked in a steep pass by Bābak's men, who rushed down from an ambush higher up; the troops then fled, leaving behind only Mohammad b. Homayd and some officers, who were all killed. The death of this general prompted poetic laments such as a qaṣīda by Abū Tammām, two verses from which are quoted in Dīnavarī (p. 398). From the statements of Tabarī (s.a. 214/829), Ya'qūbī, and others it appears that al-Ma'mun then either appointed 'Abd-Allāh b. Tāher to the governorship of Jebāl, Armenia, and Azerbaijan, or gave him the choice between this and the governorship of Khorasan. He in fact chose or was ordered to go to Khorasan (Sadighi, pp. 248-49) but according to one account (Sīāsat-nāma, p. 361) he first sent a force against Bābak, who took refuge in a castle. The caliph appointed 'Alī b. Hešām, the governor of Jebāl, Qom, Isfahan, and Azerbaijan, with the responsibility to lead the operations against Bābak; allegedly he oppressed the inhabitants, killing men and confiscating properties, and even planned to kill al-Ma'mūn's emissary 'Ojayf b. 'Anbasa and then to join Bābak; but he was arrested by 'Ojayf and delivered to al-Ma'mūn, who ordered his execution in 217/832 (Tabarī, III, pp. 1108f.). Al-Ma'mūn then entrusted the governorship of Jebäl and conduct of operations against the Korramīs to Taher b. Ebrāhīm. For the time being, however, the caliph's campaign against the Byzantines precluded large-scale action against the Korramī rebels, who gained further ground. Al-Ma'mūn died on the campaign in 218/833. His moves against Bābak had failed, but his concern with the problem is revealed in his testamentary advice to his successor al-Mo'tasem in which al-Ma'mun exhorts him not to spare any effort or resources to crush Bābak's revolt (Ţabarī, III, p. 1138).

The persistence of Bābak's revolt and the failure of the caliphal generals and expeditionary forces to quell it had various reasons. His stronghold Badd was situated in impenetrable mountains with intricate defiles and passes, where, according to Bal'amī (see Kāmbakš Fard, Barrasīhā-ye tārīkī 1/4, Dey, 1345 Š./November-December, 1966-67, pp. 9-10), a handful of men could stop thousands of advancing troops. Severe winter weather and heavy rain and snowfalls made operation against Badd impossible in winter. Often Bābak used his positional advantage to surprise the enemy and kill large numbers of them. While Bal'amī and others describe Bābak's following as made up of local farmers and poor people, several writers call them "thieves, heretics, and profligates" ('Awfi, pt. 1, chap. 5). It can be inferred that Babak won wide support among peasants and poor villagers of the Azerbaijan highlands who hoped for a better future through the revolt's success (Amoretti, pp. 507-08), but it is not improbable that some joined for expediency or out of fear.

The Iranian Archeology Department has identified the site with ruins (called Qal'a-ye Jomhūr, probably after the surrounding Jomhur mountains) in the present district of Ahar, located 50 km from Ahar town on a height above the left bank of a tributary of the Qarasū 3 km southwest of the village of Kalībar (Report of the Department's mission in the summer of 1345 \$./1966). Aḥmad Kasrawī's researches had already pointed to the site near Kalībar (Šahrīārān-e gomnām, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1335 S./1956, p. 149). The remains consisting of fortifications and a large building rest on a mountaintop 2,300-2,600 m above sea level, surrounded on all sides by ravines 400-600 m deep. The only access is by a very narrow track through gorges, up steep slopes, and across patches of dense forest. The final approach to the castle's gate is through a corridor-like defile wide enough for only one man to walk at a time. Old siege engines could not be brought up here. To reach the large building from the castle's walls one had to climb about 100 m higher up by a narrow path passable only by one man at a time along the ridge, which is surrounded by a forested ravine 400 feet deep (see Kāmbakš Fard, "Qal'a-ye Jomhūr yā Dež-e Badd," Honar o mardom 50, Adar, 1345 Š./November-December, 1966, pp. 2-6; Barrasīhā-ye tārīkī 1/4, pp. 3-18 and plates 2, 4, 5, 9, 11; Torbatī Ṭabāṭabā'ī, pp. 466-71; Flügel, p. 539 n. 1; Nafīsī, pp. 37-39; Abū Dolaf Mes'ar b. Mohalhel Kazrajī, al-Resāla al-tānīa, ed. V. Minorsky, Cairo, 1955, p. 6; for further details see BADD).

Bābak's hand was greatly strengthened by his possession of this inaccessible mountain stronghold, to which the Arabic poet Bohtori, amongst others, refers in verses quoted by Yāqūt (I, p. 361). Badd was not Bābak's only castle, however, as there are mentions of several others, some of which can be identified with surviving ruins (Nafīsī, pp. 69-71; Ṭabāṭabā'ī, pp. 472-75). At that time there were Korramīs scattered in many regions besides Azerbaijan, reportedly in Ţabarestān, Khorasan, Balk, Isfahan, Kāšān, Qom, Ray, Karaj, Hamadān, Lorestān, Kūzestān, Başra, and Armenia (Nafīsī, pp. 32-33). According to the Fehrest (pp. 405-06) and Mas'ūdī (Tanbīh, p. 322), Bābak's sway at the height of his career extended "southward to near Ardabīl and Marand, eastward to the Caspian Sea and the Šamākī district and Šervān, northward to the Mūqān (Mogān) steppe and the Aras river bank, westward to the districts of Jolfa, Nakjavan, and Marand" (see Nafīsī, p. 36 and map).

The Korramī danger was thus a matter of a grave concern to al-Mo'taṣem on his accession to the caliphate in Rajab, 218/August, 833, and all the more so when later in the same year a large number of men from Jebāl, Hamadān, and Isfahan went over to the Korramī and encamped near Hamadān. To deal with them al-Mo'taṣem sent a force under Esḥāq b. Ebrāhīm b. Moṣ'ab, who was also made governor of Jebāl. In the subsequent battle near Hamadān several thousand (60,000 in Ṭabarī and Ebn al-Atīr) Korramīs were killed, but a large number escaped to Byzantine territory, whence they came back later to resume their fight (Ṭabarī, III, p. 1165; Ebn al-Atīr, VI, p. 441; Sīāsatnāma, pp. 362-63). In Jomādā Ī, 219/May, 834 many

Korramī prisoners were brought by Eshāq b. Ebrāhīm to Baghdad (Ṭabarī, III, p.1166; Ebn al-Atīr, VI, p. 444). Bābak's revolt, however, was still in full swing, and the slaughter of so many Korramis seems to have strengthened his men's will to fight. In 220/835 al-Mo'tasem placed Haydar b. Kāvūs Afšīn (q.v.), a senior general and a son of the vassal prince of Osrūšana, in command of an expedition to destroy Bābak. According to most of the sources, al-Mo'tasem not only made Afšīn governor of Azerbaijan and seconded highranking officers to serve under him, but also ordered exceptionally large salaries, expense allowances, and rations for him; Afšīn was to receive 10,000 dirhams per day spent on horseback and 5,000 dirhams per day not so spent. For rapid transmission of messages, the caliph ordered that a swift horse with a rider should be stationed at every parasang-pillar between Sāmarrā and the Holwan (now Pa-ye Taq) pass and beyond Holwan as far as Azerbaijan watchmen should be posted on hills with the task of uttering a loud shout on the approach of a courier so that the rider at the nearby station might get ready to take the leather pouch (karīta) and carry it to the next station; in this way the pouches were carried from Afšīn's camp to Sāmarrā in four days or less (Tabarī, III, p. 1229).

Before Afsīn's departure, al-Mo'tasem had sent Abū Sa'īd Moḥammad b. Yūsof Marvazī to Ardabīl with instructions to rebuild the forts between Zanjan and Ardabīl which Bābak had demolished and to make the roads safe by posting guards. Abū Saʿīd Mohammad set about these tasks. A band of mounted Korramī led by a certain Mo'āwīa broke into one sector, intending to surprise Abū Sa'īd Mohammad with a night attack, but Abū Saʿīd Moḥammad and his soldiers got word and blocked Mo'āwīa's way; in the ensuing fight some Korramīs were killed, others were captured, and the skulls and the prisoners were sent to Baghdad. Ṭabarī (III, p. 1171; cf. Ebn al-Atīr, VI, p. 447) records this as Bābak's first defeat. A later incident also boded ill for Bābak. Previously Moḥammad b. Bo'ayt, the lord of a strong castle named Qal'a-ye Šāhī, had been welldisposed to Bābak and willing to accommodate his men when they came to the neighborhood; but when Bābak sent a company under a captain named 'Esma, Mohammad b. Bo'ayt first made them drunk, then threw 'Esma into chains and enticed the men one by one into the castle and killed most of them, only a few being able to escape. 'Esma was sent to al-Mo'tasem, who before jailing him obtained useful information from him about Bābak's territory and tactics and about tracks in the area (Țabarī, III, p. 1172; Ebn al-Atīr, VI, pp. 447-48).

On arriving in Azerbaijan, Afšīn camped at a place on the Ardabīl road called Barzand at a distance of 15 parasangs from Ardabīl (Eṣṭakrī, p. 192; Moqaddasī, pp. 378, 381; Yāqūt, I, p. 382; Nozhat al-qolūb, pp. 90, 182). He repaired the forts between Barzand and Ardabīl and made traffic possible by providing road guards, caravan escorts, and halting places. He also spent a month at Ardabīl gathering knowledge of the topography and tracks from informants and spies. If he

caught any of Bābak's spies, he pardoned them and paid them to spy for him at twice the rate that Bābak had paid. One such intelligence report was that Bābak knew that al-Mo'taṣem had sent Bogā the Elder (a senior general) with a large sum of money for the pay and expenses of the troops and was planning a raid to seize this money. Afšīn used this information to lure Bābak into a full engagement, in which many of Bābak's comrades were killed. Bābak himself got away to the Mūqān plain and thence to Badd (Ṭabarī, III, pp. 1174-78; Ebn al-Aū̄r, VI, pp. 449-51).

When Bābak came under attack from Afšīn's army, he is said to have written a letter to the Byzantine emperor Theophilus (r. 829-42), begging him to lead an expedition into Azerbaijan; but Theophilus's march into caliphal territory with a force including fugitive Korramīs did not take place until after the capture and execution of Bābak in 223/838; the authenticity of Bābak's letter is open to question (Sadighi, p. 257 n. 3). Details of numerous engagements between Babak's men and Afšīn's troops before the fall of Badd are given by Tabarī and Ebn al-Atīr (s.a. 220/835-222/837) and recapitulated by Nafīsī (pp. 97-117). Also mentioned are various precautions which Afšīn took at this time, such as trench-digging, patroling, hiring local highlanders as spies, and sending detachments to strategic points. Whenever he needed money or supplies, he informed al-Mo'tasem by means of swift couriers and soon got what he wanted. The caliph regularly sent him instructions on tactics and precautions, and gave him every encouragement. On one occasion al-Mo'tasem dispatched Ja'far Dīnār known as Kayyāţ (the Tailor), who had been a senior general in al-Ma'mūn's reign, and Aytāk the Turk, a slave-soldier who superintended the caliphal kitchen, with reinforcements and money for Afšīn and also several ass-loads of iron spikes to be strewn around the camp as a precaution against night raids. When Bābak heard of the arrival of Ja'far and Aytāk, he is said to have informed Theophilus, "Mo'tasem has no one else left, so he has sent his tailor and his cook to fight me" (Sadighi, p. 257). Bābak and his men remained in control of the highland and with their ambushes and surprise attacks, often frustrated Afsīn's plans. They repeatedly captured supplies which Afšīn had ordered from Marāga and Šervān. Afšīn's tactics were to lure Bābak's men away from their mountain fastnesses and engage them in the open and to foil their ambushes by efficient reconnaissance. But his officers, eager to bring the matter to a head, complained of his inaction and even accused him of conniving with Bābak. More encounters took place with heavy losses to both sides and finally Afšīn reached the mountain facing the gate of Badd and camped there, only a mile away. Bābak, losing hope, came out to meet him and requested a safe-conduct from the caliph. According to Ya'qūbī (Ta'rīk II, pp. 578f.), Afšīn refused, but when Afšīn demanded hostages, Bābak offered his son or others of his followers and asked Afsīn to restrain the troops from attacking. By then, however, fierce fighting with the castle's defenders had started, and in

the end Afšīn's troops scaled the walls of Badd and hoisted their flags. Afšīn entered the castle and had it demolished after it had been plundered (Ṭabarī, III, pp. 1233-34; Mas'ūdī, *Tanbīh*, pp. 93, 160). Many of Bābak's men scattered in the mountains and escaped. Bābak, together with some members of his family and a few of his warriors, slipped away by mountain tracks, heading for Armenia Badd fell on 9 Ramażān 222/15 August 837.

Afšīn, who had already dispatched a request to the caliph for a safe-conduct for Bābak, learned from spies that Bābak and his party were hiding in a forest-covered valley on the Azerbaijan-Armenian border, and he proceeded to blockade the area. When the caliph's safeconduct arrived, Afšīn commissioned two Korramīs to carry it to Bābak together with a letter from Bābak's son, who had been taken prisoner. Bābak rejected the document without opening it, and after sending the messengers away fled to Armenia with four or five male and female members of his family and one bodyguard. All except Bābak and his brother 'Abd-Allāh and the guard were captured. Being close to starvation, Bābak sent the guard to a village to get food. The local ruler, Sahl b. Sonbāt (on whom see Nafīsī, pp. 135, 138, 175-76) was informed and received Bābak hospitably. Bābak, however, took the precaution of sending his brother 'Abd-Allāh to 'Īsā b. Yūsof b. Estefānūs (Ṭabarī, III, pp. 1223-24). Afšīn had already sent letters to the district promising a large reward for the capture of Bābak, and Sahl b. Sonbāt informed Afšīn of Bābak's presence. After verifying this, Afšīn sent a large force under Abū Saʿīd Moḥammad b. Yūsof to capture Bābak. He was arrested after going out at Sahl b. Sonbāt's suggestion to hunt (after being put in irons by Sahl b. Sonbāt according to Mas'ūdī, Morūj, ed. Pellat, sec. 2807) and then taken to Afšīn's camp at Barzand on 10 Šawwāl 222/15 September 837. Many stories about Bābak's escape and adventures have come down (see Sadighi, p. 265 n. 3). According to Tabarī, he wore a white cloak at the hunting ground, and this has been taken as possibly symbolic of either purity and light or opposition to the 'Abbasids whose flag was black (Sadighi, p. 264 n. 4). Afšīn also found out where Bābak's brother 'Abd-Allāh had escaped and wrote to Īsā b. Yūsof b. Eṣṭefānūs, who handed him over. Afšīn reported his success (by pigeon post according to Mas'ūdī's Morūj, ed. Pellat, sec. 2809) to al-Mo'tasem, who in reply ordered him to bring the captives forthwith to Sāmarrā. Allegations that Afšīn deceived Bābak with conciliatory messages and feigned friendship (Nafīsī, pp. 66, 68; Zarrīnkūb, 1355, pp. 247-48; Dā'erat alma'āref-e fārsī, s.v. Bābak) appear to derive from rumors that Afšīn was already in secret contact with anti-'Abbasid leaders such as Bābak and the ruler of Tabarestān, Māzyār b. Qāren, and perhaps also with the Byzantine emperor Theophilus. Another conjecture is that Afšīn sacrificed Bābak because he was afraid of being supplanted as commander of the anti-Korramī expedition by his Taherid rivals (Nafīsī, p. 68).

Large numbers of men, women, and children from

Bābak's side fell into Afšīn's hands, figures from 1,300 to 7,600 being mentioned (Ṭabarī, III, p. 1233). He released the men and returned the women and children to those shown to be their husbands, fathers, or guardians. Then he set out with Bābak and Bābak's brother and some Korramī prisoners for al-Mo'tasem's capital Sāmarrā. (On the question why Afšīn remained in Azerbaijan for almost four months after the capture of Bābak, see Sadighi, p. 268.) They arrived on Thursday, or Wednesday night, 3 Safar 223/4 January 838. Al-Wateg, the heir to the throne, and other relatives of al-Mo'tasem as well as senior dignitaries went out at the caliph's command to meet Afsīn. Bayhaqī (2nd ed., pp. 168-69) tells how the minister Hasan b. Sahl, like several dignitaries, was reluctant to dismount and salute Afsīn but dared not disobey the caliph's command. Afšīn camped at Maţīra (or at Qāţūl five parasangs from Sāmarrā), and it is related that first the $q\bar{a}\dot{z}\bar{i}$ Ahmad b. Abī Do'ād, then al-Mo'tasem himself went to the camp secretly in their impatience for a glimpse of Bābak (Tabarī, III, pp. 1229-30; Mas'ūdī, Morūj, ed. Pellat, sec. 2809), a story which, if true, shows what a relief Bābak's fall had been for the caliphal government. To give the populace an exemplary lesson, a parade was held in the following week, most probably on Monday, 6 Safar 223/7 January 838, in which Bābak, clad in an embroidered cloak and capped with a miter, was made to ride on an elephant which had been given to al-Ma'mūn by an Indian king, while his brother, 'Abd-Allah, also specially clad and capped, was mounted on a camel. Two verses of Moḥammad b. 'Abd-al-Malek Zayyāt about this elephant are quoted by Ṭabarī (see Sadighi, p. 266 n. 2). The whole length of the street to the Bāb al-'Āmma was lined on both sides with cavalrymen and foot soldiers and huge numbers of people. Then al-Mo'tasem ordered the executioner to proceed. First Bābak's hands and feet were cut off, then at the caliph's command his mangled body was strung on a gibbet in the outskirts of Sāmarrā. According to some sources his head was later sent around for display in other cities and in Khorasan. Bābak was hanged in the same place that afterwards Māzyār b. Qāren, the rebel prince of Ṭabarestān, and Yāṭas Rūmī, the patricius of Amorium who had died in prison, were hanged; this is the subject of a poem by Abū Tammām quoted in Mas'ūdī's Morūj (ed. Pellat, sec. 2821). Bābak's brother 'Abd-Allāh was sent to Baghdad, where he was similarly executed and gibbeted by Esḥāq b. Ebrāhīm Moș'abī. According to some authors (e.g., Nezām-al-Molk, Sīāsat-nāma, pp. 365-66), when one of Bābak's hands had been cut off, he made his face red by smearing blood on it with his other hand, and when al-Mo'tasem asked why, he answered that it was because loss of blood causes pallor and he did not want anyone to suppose that he was pale with fear (Sadighi, pp. 267-68). The poet 'Attar, however, attributes this gesture to the crucified mystic Hosayn b. Mansūr Hallāj (Manteg al-tayr, ed. M. J. Maškūr, Tabrīz, 1336 Š./1957, pp. 156-57). A different story about Bābak's words to al-Mo'tasem appears in 'Awfi's Jawāme' alhekāyāt (pt. 1, chap. 5). Bābak's brother 'Abd-Allāh, according to Ṭabarī, met his death with similar calm assurance (Ṭabarī, III, p. 1231).

The cruelty of these killings as well as the enormous favor that al-Mo'tasem lavished upon Afšīn (daily dispatch of horses and robes of honor on his way back from Barzand, gifts of a crown and jeweled insignia, 20,000 dirhams for himself and his troops, etc., ibid., pp. 1230, 1232, 1233) and others illustrate the importance which the caliph and his advisers placed on the suppression of Babak's revolt. Among the court poets who lauded the victory of Afsīn and received rewards from al-Mo'tasem were Eshāq b. Kalaf (quoted in Dīnavarī, p. 399) and Abū Tammām Tā'ī, whose poem likened Afšīn to Ferēdūn and Bābak to Żahhāk (Mas'ūdī, Tanbīh, p. 93). According to Mas'ūdī (Morūj, ed. Pellat, sec. 2815) al-Mo'tasem gave Otroja, the daughter of a high-ranking Turkish officer named Ašnās, in marriage to Afšīn's son Hasan and laid on a splendid wedding party. Hasan b. Sonbāt was rewarded by the caliph with a gift of 100,000 dirhams, a jeweled belt, and the crown of a patricius, and his son Mo'āwīa also received 100,000 dirhams. Nezām-al-Molk (Sīāsatnāma, p. 366) reckons the defeats of Bābak, Māzyār, and the Byzantines to be three great victories for Islam won in al-Mo'tasem's reign.

The number of Bābak's men taken prisoner is given as 3,309, and the number of his captured male and female relatives as 30 or more. Various figures, said to have been obtained from an executioner or executioners whom Bābak had employed, are given for those whose death he ordered in the course of his long revolt; the figure of 255,000 or more in most of the sources (Tabarī, III, p. 1233; Maqdesī, VI, p. 114; Sadighi, p. 271) is obviously an exaggeration, no doubt intended to impute cruelty and bloodthirstiness to Babak. All the accounts of Bābak are biased, some begin with curses on him (e.g. Sayyed Mortażā, p. 184; Mostawfī, Tārīk-e gozīda, p. 316). Eştakrī (p. 203) and Ebn Hawqal (p. 266) state that Korramīs recited the Koran in mosques, but authors such as Bağdādī (p. 269) describe this as a ruse to conceal disbelief under the pretense of being Muslim. Korramī libertinism has probably also been exaggerated (Madelung, p. 65); for example, the public appearance of Babak and Javidan's widow at their wedding does not mean that they were unmindful of marriage obligations (see Sadighi, p. 214), and none of the allegations of libertinism made against Bābak and his followers can be taken as certain or trustworthy. All considered, it may be said that Bābak's motives and actions were anti-caliphal, anti-Arab, and to that extent anti-Muslim (Tabarī, III, p. 1226; Sadighi, pp. 265, 275; Amoretti, p. 509). The numerous revolts in the two or three centuries after the Arab conquest point to widespread discontent among the Iranian elements from whom the leaders, including Bābak, drew their support, and perhaps also to a desire to return to the past. Bābak's aims, however, were clearly not shared by the Iranian princes and nobles like Afšīn (except Māzyār), being incompatible with their

ambition to regain power and wealth (Zarrīnkūb, 1355, p. 232). Most of them, including Afšīn who was one of their number, supported the caliph's action against Bābak. Modern scholars such as Sadighi (p. 229) and G. E. von Grunebaum (Medieval Islam, Chicago, 1961, p. 205) regard Bābak's revolt as a politico-religious movement, and Nafīsī, J. Homā'ī (in Mehr 3, p. 159), and D. Şafā have laid stress on its nationalistic aspect. Bābak's boldness, shrewdness, and efficiency in the military leadership of the long struggle, and the trust placed in him by his supporters are certainly remarkable (on his personality and ideas, see Sadighi, pp. 268-72). Tabarī states that none of the Korramīs dared obey Afšīn's order to take the caliph's safe-conduct to Bābak and that when Afsīn's emissaries reached him, he said in an angry message to his son, "Perhaps I shall survive, perhaps not. I have been known as the commander. Wherever I am present or am mentioned, I am the king." The words show that he was a man of far-reaching ambition and enterprise. In his conversation with Sahl b. Sonbat about the need to send away his brother 'Abd-Allāh, he said, according to Tabarī, "It is not right that my brother and I should stay in one place. One of us may be caught and the other may survive. I do not know what will happen. We have no successor to carry on our movement." The fact that Bābak sent his brother away when he himself took refuge with Sahl b. Sonbāt implies Bābak's hope for the continuation of the movement. Tabarī also states that Afšīn, when about to leave Azerbaijan, asked Bābak whether he would like anything before their departure, and Bābak replied that he would like to see his own town again. He was sent to Badd with some guards on a moonlight night and allowed to walk around the town. This gives proof of his great love for his homeland. In the same context Tabarī has a story that Afšīn granted a request from Bābak to spare him from surveillance by the appointed guard-officer, because this officer "was slippery-handed and slept beside him and stank unbearably." The statements of Tabarī (III, pp. 1177, 1205) and Ebn al-Atīr (s.a. 220/835 and 222/837) about Korrami merry-making and wine drinking even in wartime confirm one of the sect's reputed characteristics (see Amoretti, p. 517), but their tales of Bābak's promiscuity and abduction of pretty Armenian girls seem inconsistent with another statement of Tabarī (III, p. 1227) that the women wept when they saw Bābak captive in Afšīn's camp.

The excitement over the fighting and the defeat of Bābak is echoed in contemporary Arabic literature, e.g., a verse description of Bābak on the gibbet quoted by Rāgeb Eṣfahānī (Moḥāżarāt al-odabā', Beirut, 1961, III, p. 199), poems by Abū Moḥammad Eṣḥāq b. Ebrāhīm Mawṣelī (155/172-235/850) in praise of Eṣḥāq b. Ebrāhīm Moṣʻabī (see Ḥoṣrī Qayrawānī, Zahr al-ādāb, Cairo, III, pp. 13-14), the odes in Abū Tammām's dīvān, also his invectives against Afšīn after the latter's fall, and praises for Moḥammad b. Ḥomayd Ṭūsī and his campaign against Bābak in the dīvān of Boḥtorī (see also Nafīsī, pp. 158-60).

Armenia was close to Bābak's territory and had contacts with him but occasionally suffered from his raids. The mentions of his doings in Armenian chronicles have been assembled by Nafīsī (pp. 135-41).

Bābak's defeat hit the Korramīs hard but did not destroy them. Descendants of his followers evidently continued to live at Badd, as Abū Dolaf b. Mes'ar b. Mohalhel saw them there in the mid-4th/10th century. Further Korramī stirrings are reported: in the reign of al-Mo'tasem's successor al-Wateq and as late as 300/912-13 (Sīāsat-nāma, pp. 366-67); in 321/933 and again in 360/970 in the reigns of the Buyid amirs 'Emādal-Dawla and 'Ażod-al-Dawla and as late as the mid-6th/12th century (Margoliouth and Amedroz, Eclipse II, p. 299; Sam'ānī, s.v. Bābakī; Bondārī in Houtsma, Recueil, p. 124); and even in the Mongol period. Many of the old writers, particularly those of Sunnite persuasion, assert that Korramīs influenced and infiltrated the Qarmatī and Esmā'īlī movements, and some modern scholars take the same view while others are more cautious (Madelung, p. 65; B. Lewis, The Origins of Ismailism, Cambridge, 1940, pp. 96-97). The suspicion probably gained credence because the three movements shared a common hostility to the 'Abbasids and may have occasionally collaborated.

Bibliography: Abu'l-Ma'ālī Mohammad b. 'Obayd-Allāh, Bayān al-adyān, ed. M.-T. Dānešpažūh, FIZ 10, 1341 Š./1962, pp. 282-318. Abū Mansūr Bağdādī, al-Farq bayn al-feraq, ed. M. M. 'Abd-al-Hamīd, Beirut, n.d., pp. 266-69. Abu'l-Mozaffar Esferā'īnī, al-Tabsīr fi'l-dīn, Cairo, 1359/ 1940. B. A. Amoretti in Camb. Hist. Iran IV, 1975, pp. 503-18. Mohammad 'Awfī, Jawāme' alhekāyāt, MS, Bib. Nat., supp. Pers., 906, fols. 95a-b, 202b, 70a. M. Azizi, La domination arabe et l'épanouissement du sentiment national en Iran, Paris, 1938. Dā'erat al-ma'āref-e fārsī, ed. G.-H. Mosāheb, Tehran, 1345 Š./1966, s.v. "Bābak-e Korramdīn," "Korramdīnān." Ebn al-'Ebrī (Bar Hebraeus), Ta'rīk moktasar al-dowal Beirut, 1958, p. 139. Ebn Kaldūn, Ketāb al-'ebar, Būlāq, 1284/1867, III, pp. 256-62. Ebn Meskawayh, Tajāreb I, pp. 233ff.; II, pp. 282ff. Faṣīḥ K'āfī, Mojmal-e faṣīḥī, ed. M. Farrok, Mašhad, 1341 Š./1962, I, pp. 283-96. G. Flügel, "Bābak, seine Abstammung und erstes Auftreten," ZDMG 23, 1869, pp. 531-42. Gardīzī, ed. Habībī, pp. 76-79. 'A.-R. Homāyūn-Farrok, "Dāstān-e tārīķī-e Bābak wa Afšīn," Hūkt, no. 13, 1341 Š./1962, n.s., pts. 4-12; no. 14, 1342 Š./1963, n.s., pts. 1-9, 11-12. C. Huart, "Bābak," in EI1 I. W. Madelung, "Khurramiyya," in EI2 V. D. Margoliouth, "Khorramiyya," in EI1 II. S. Nafīsī, Bābake Korramdin, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1342 Š./1963 (comprehensive study with full list of sources; first published in Mehr 1-2, 1312-13 Š./1933-34). Idem, Māh-e Nakšab, Tehran, 1334 Š./1955, pp. 64-87. 'A. Parvīz, "Korramdīnān wa qīām-e Bābak barā-ye eḥyā-ye esteglāl-e Īrān, "Barrasīhā- ye tārīkī 1, 1345 Š./1966, pp. 75-88. Ebn Qotayba, Ketāb al-ma'āref, ed. F. Wüstenfeld, Göttingen, 1850, p. 198. M. Rāvandī,

Tārīk-e taḥawwolāt-e ejtemā'ī III, Tehran, 1331 Š./1952. G. Sadighi, Les mouvements religieux iraniens au IIe et au IIIe siècle de l'hégire, Paris, 1938, pp. 187-280 (sources pp. 230-36). D. Şafā, "Bābak-e Korramdīnī," Majalla-ye arteš 8, 1328 Š./1949, no. 8, pp. 19-23, no. 9, pp. 53-61, no. 10, pp. 46-49. Sayyed Mortażā b. Dā'ī, Tabserat al-'awāmm, ed. 'A. Eqbāl, Tehran, 1313 Š./1934, p. 184. D. Sourdel, "Bābak," in EI² I. B. Spuler, Iran, Wiesbaden, 1952, pp. 61-64, 201-03. Tabarī, The Reign of al-Mu'tasim, tr. E. Marin, New Haven, 1951, index. S. J. Torābī Tabātabā'ī, Ātār-e bāstānī-e Ādarbāyjān II, Tehran, 2535 = 1355 Š./1957, pp. 466-71. Tanūkī, Nešwār almohāżara wa akbār al-modākara, ed. 'A. Šāljī, 4 vols., Beirut, 1971-72, I, pp. 147-48. Nezām-al-Molk Tūsī, Sīāsat-nāma, ed. J. Še'ār, Tehran, 1348 Š./1969, pp. 319, 359-89. E.M. Wright, "Bābak of Badhdh and al-Afshīn during the Years A.D. 816-841...," Muslim World 38, 1948, pp. 43-59, 124-31. E. Yarshater in Camb. Hist. Iran III/2, pp. 991-1024, Pers. tr. in *Trān-nāma* 2/1, 1362 Š./1983, pp. 6-42. 'A.-H. Zarrīnkūb, Do garn-e sokūt, 5th ed., Tehran, 1355 Š./1976, pp. 231-56. Idem, Tārīk-e Īrān ba'd az Eslām, Tehran, 1343 Š./1964, pp. 566-69.

(G.-H. Yūsofī)

BĀBAKĪYA. See KORRAMDĪNĪS.

BABAN (or Bavan), a small town in the medieval Islamic province of Bādḡs (q.v.), to the north and west of Herat, more particularly, in the district of Ganj Rostāq (q.v.), which formed the eastern part of Bādḡs. It must have been within the Herat welāyat of modern Afghanistan, just south of the border with the Turkmenistan S.S.R. and near the modern Afghan town of Košk.

The 4th/10th-century geographers link it with Kīf and Bagšūr as the three main settlements in Ganj Rostāq. Baban was two stages from Herat, Kīf and Bağšūr being respectively a stage and a day's journey further onwards. It was the most populous of the three and, as the qasaba or capital, the seat of the governor. The whole district is described as being agriculturally highly prosperous, obtaining its water from running streams from the hills and from wells, with grapes being a speciality; the Hodūd al-'ālam mentions grape-syrup (došāb) from Baban. The place was still apparently prosperous in the later 6th/12th and early 7th/13th centuries, for both Sam'ani and Yaqut visited it, the former hearing hadīt there from the local cadi (qāżī); both mention a prominent faqth from the town, one Abū 'Abd-Allāh Moḥammad b. Bešr Bavanī. After the Mongol invasions, however, it drops out of mention.

Bibliography: Eṣṭakrī, p. 269. Ebn Ḥawqal, pp. 441, 457; tr. Kramers, pp. 426, 441, Maqdesī, pp. 298, 308. Ḥodūd al-ʿālam, tr. Minorsky, p. 104, sec. 23.31. Samʿānī(Hyderabad), II, pp. 363-64. Yāqūt (Beirut) I, p. 512. Le Strange, Lands, p. 413. A description of the district as it was a century ago is