

The Parthian Origins of the House of Rustam

A. SH. SHAHBAZI

On more than one occasion my tutor of unequalled thoroughness, Professor A. D. H. Bivar, has discussed the evidential value of the $S\bar{a}h$ -nāma of Firdausī for a better understanding of Arsacid antiquity and has explored the possibility of placing Rustam, the heroic symbol of the Iranian people immortalized by Firdausī, in the context of Parthian history. It is with deep gratification, therefore, that as a token of my profound debt to him I submit for his Festschrift certain data in support of his long-held conviction. 2

Introduction

As Marquart and Nöldeke established long ago.3 Iranian sagas which were eventually written down in the Sasanian official history, the Xwadāy-nāmag,⁴ and immortalized in the Šāhnāma of Firdausī,5 incorporated a good deal of Parthian history reshaped as narrative of earlier epochs as well as of the Sasanian period. Parthian magnates who, much like the great English lords of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, had their own domains, army, court, and family emblems (nišān),6 appear in the traditional history as feudal lords serving the Kayanid King of Kings.7 Apart from the House of Rustam, the following magnates play significant roles in the traditional history: Gödarz the Kārinid and his sons, notably Gev, and the latter's son, Bežan; Melad, his son Gurgīn-i Mēlād, and Xusrow-i Melādān; Farhād the Burzīnian; Aškaš; and Zanga-yi Šāwuran. These paladins are clearly taken from Parthian history: 8 Aškaš symbolizes the family name Aršak, Gev and Godarz the "GOTARZES son of GEW" of the Greek inscription at Behistun; Bēžan is the Parthian prince Wēzan (Oūazanës) recognized by Marquart in the Act of Thomas,9 Mēlād and Melādān (< Mehrdād/Mithridates) as well as Farhād (/Phraates) and Xusrow (/Chosroes) are known Arsacid royal figures; Zanga-vi Šāwurān and Bēžan appear among the Arsacid kings in Sasanian-based literature; while Gorāza (< Varāz) is attested as the name of a great Arsacid family or clan.10 Rival Arsacid magnates also find echo in the Šāhnāma. Thus, Šuntarx,11 who challenged Gev, represents Sanatruces; and Balāšan (written Palāšān), whom Bēžan slew, is none other than "son of Balāš/ Vologases." Similarly, the Kūšāns, allies of the Turanians¹² and opponents of Gev, Godarz and Rustam, 13 are the Kushans of historical records. Since Arsacid families continued to enjoy their feudal rights and historic prominence during the Sasanian period,14 the preservation, albeit in reshaped forms, of their earlier history in the Iranian national tradition was natural enough.

1. Antiquity of Rustam's Legends

The greatest and most heroic paladin of the national history was Rustam, ¹⁵ son of Dastān/Zāl. It is curious, therefore, that he does not find an evident prototype among the Arsacid magnates. It must be emphasized that Rustam was not a creation of Firdausi, although the latter certainly glorified his fame. By the end of the Sasanian period his legends were well known all over the Iranian lands, from Sogdiana in the east¹⁶ to Armenia in the west;¹⁷ and a substantial collection of them were compiled in a Pahlavi book mentioned by Mas Gūdī. ¹⁸

Iranians have traditions on the deeds of Kay Xusrow and his capture of the slayers of Siyāwūš, son of Kāvōs,

and the stratagems and wars between the Iranians and Turanians, and the history (xabar) of Rustam the son of Dastān—too many to describe here without entering into long digressions. All such narratives are contained in the book Sagzīkān [The Sacians/Seistanians], 19 which Ibn al-Muqaffar translated from Pahlavi [Fārsīyat al-awlal], as are the history of Sbandyāš [Isfandyār] son of Bištāsef [Wištāspa/Guštāsp] son of Luhrāsef and his slaying by Rustam and the killing of Rustam by Bahman, son of Sbandyāšon and other marvels and traditions of ancient Iranians. The Iranians hold this book in great esteem since it contains the history of their forefathers and former kings.

The adoption of Rustam's name in fifthcentury Armenia,21 where a Parthian heritage endured, bespeaks the antiquity of his legends among people of Parthian descent. Accordingly, some22 have argued that Rustam was a Parthian hero already mentioned in a poetical text of Parthian origin, the Draxt i Asūrīk (Assyrian Treel.23 Others maintain that he is the reincarnation of wholly mythical figures and his legends are reshaped stories of gods and demigods of yore.24 There can be no denying, of course, that substantial myths from diverse sources (the Vreθraγna/Heracles repertory, for instance)25 have been incorporated into Rustam's legends. Nevertheless, enough historical indications remain in his sagas to allow the conclusion that Rustam-the mightiest and most influential hero of the national tradition, and one closely linked by descent and deeds to the paladins of Parthian origin-also had a "historical niche," as Bivar put it,26 in the Parthian period.

2. Marquart's Tracing of Rustam's History

As so often, it was Marquart who brilliantly traced the background of the house of Rustam. His reconstruction was based on the following grounds:²⁷

a. Sakastān, the home of Rustam in epic, was historically the ancestral seat of the Sūrēn (Surenas), 28 one of the seven Arsacid great families, who ranked second only to the royal house and whose members had the hereditary privilege of crowning new kings. 29

b. Roman historians usually mention the Surenas, 30 such as the vanquisher of Crassus in 53 B.C., without giving their personal names.

When discussing a Parthian conspiracy against Artabanus (II), which led to his overthrow and the assumption of the throne by a Roman nominee, Tiridates (II),31 Tacitus provides the following data: the leader of the plot was "Sinnaces, a man of distinguished family and wealth":32 his father, Abdagases, who brought to Tiridates "the royal emblems";33 "the Surenas crowned Tiridates with the royal diadem in the presence of an approving assembly in accordance with the national tradition":34 "then Abdagases ruled the court and the new king"35 as if "the actual power was in his own house."36 Pointing out that after crowning Tiridates, the Surenas vanishes from the records of events while the overwhelming influence of Abdagases is stressed, Marquart concluded that they were one and the same, i.e., Abdagases the Surenas, who was called by his family name when as the royal coronant he performed the function associated with his family rank.37

c. Among the Indo-Parthian kings who ruled Sakastān, Arachosia and Taxila, one is called "Abdagases, the nephew of Gondophares." ³⁸ Alexander Cunningham had identified him as a grandson of the Abdagases of Tacitus. Marquart agreed, ³⁹ pointing out that as Sūrēnids, Abdagases and Sinnaces would have been hereditary rulers of Sakastān, and that the probable date of Gondophares' accession (i.e., A.D. 20) adducible from one of his inscriptions, ⁴⁰ made the latter a contemporary of Abdagases the elder and Sinnaces

d. "The mythical representative of the kingdom of Sakastān since the time of Kay Kāōs is Rustam, the tutor of Sīyāwaxš. . . . However, in epic the figure of the mighty king Gondophares has fused with the mythical hero."⁴¹

Having thus associated Rustam with the Sūrēnids of Sakastān as well as with Gondophares, Marquart went on to identify Rustam as a double of the mythical hero Keresāspa (Karšāp/Garšāsp) of the house of Sām:⁴²

Rustam is Sāma Keresāspa. His name, Pahlavi Rōāstaxm (written Rōtastaxm), is simply the transcription of an Awestan *rao&a-staxma, which by rule in later Pahlavi should have given roīstaxm, rōstahm, 43 and is originally nothing but an epithet of Keresāspa, like naira-manah [< Narīmān] "of manly heart." 144 They correspond not only in their feats but also in their religious attitude. Keresāspa is accused

of serving a pairikā [a wicked sorceress], and irreligiousness forms the basis of the outbreak of religious war between Rustam and Spandyāō as well as his son, Bahman, whose comparatively later origin has long since been recognized.

Nöldeke indirectly rejected Marquart's interpretation.45 Rustam, he said, was a purely mythical element, totally different from the other heroes of the national epic in his origins, length of life and feats of arms. His genealogical connection with Keresaspa was artificial, and his geographical surroundings (i.e., Sakastān and Zābul or Arachosial were not the same as those of the Avestan heroes or paladins of Parthian origins; yet "the original form of the name Rustam is Röstahm, which can only be Iranian, just like the name of the hero's mother, Röδābe, evidently from an original *Rōtābak."46 Therefore, "in all likelihood his legends belonged to the ancient inhabitants of Zaranka (Drangiana) and Arachosia; they were not brought from a northern homeland by the Sakās who invaded [in the late second century B.C.] the region which is ever since called after them Sakastān/Segestān/ Sīstān."47 Only much later were these legends incorporated into the heroic cycles of Avestan and Parthian origins. Christensen supported Nöldeke's view, stressing the lack of information on Gondophares and the dissimilarities between the legends of Keresäspa and those of Rustam.48 Herzfeld, however, returned to Marquart's theory,49 presenting it as a sound historical reconstruction, and he even connected the remains of Kūh-i Khwāja in Seistan with Rustam who, he said, "was identical with Gondophares." 50 Bivar took this view further. While admitting that both Rustam and Gondophares "were possibly members of the house of Sūrēn,"51 Bivar saw closer similarities between Rustam and the Sūrēn who vanquished Crassus: both were connected with the Sakas; both crowned kings; both were magnates of the first rank and commanders-in-chief; and both were of unusual stature and bravery.52 Bivar's valuable contribution was his discovery that a coin of Gondophares introduces him as53

Βασιλεύς/βασιλέων μ/έγας Ύνδο/φέρρης/ ό έπονο/μαζομέ/νος Σάμ "King of Kings, the great Hyndopherres, who is surnamed Sām."

3. Rustam's Kingdom

With "an explicit link" between the Gondophares of history and the House of Kresāspa (i.e., Sām) thus established, sa a attempt may be made to shear Rustam of his legendary aspects and determine his "historical niche" in Parthian Iran. Let us first ascertain his domain.

As the hereditary king of Sakastān, 55 Rustam ruled a vast area, including the vassal kingdom of Kābul; 56 he had his own personal crown, 57 throne, banner and army, 58 His grandfather, Sām, had received from his overlord, King Manūčihr, a gift of magnificent richness, "a throne of turquoise and crown of gold, a ruby signet-ring and golden girdle. "59 Then Manūčihr added a charter ("ahd!), investing Sām with

The whole of Kābul and Dnbr and Māy and Hind.

From the China Sea (*daryā-yi čīn*) to that of Sind,

From Zābulistān to the other side of Bust.

Kay Qubad confirmed this fief upon Rustam:60

From Zābulistān to the Sea of Sind $(dary\bar{a}-yisind)...$

With the throne and crown of Nēmrūz; And Kābul, to be given to Mihrāb (as vassalage).

Kāōs "invested Rustam with the hereditary throne, crown and rulership of Nēmrūz",61 and Kay Xusrow bestowed on Frāmarz, son of Rustam, "the kingdom of all Hind, from Qinnauj to Seistan."62 Sind/Hind, Dnbr, Māy-u Hind, Qinnauj, even Kashmir, as well as Bust, Zābul and Kābul are repeatedly and consistently given as dependencies of the House of Rustam. 63 To the north, the kingdom of Samangān (which survived in medieval Samangān in Bāsgēs) formed a border between Sakastān and Tūran. 64

It will be recalled that Kābul (and Kābulistan) and Zābul (and Zābulistān) were designations first introduced in the mid-Sasanian period. The former replaced the earlier name Gandhāra,65 the latter Arachosia and part of Drangiana (> Zarang).66 Until the eighth century, Rustam's nationality was invariably expressed as Sagzī (< Sakzīg) "Sakastānian/Seistāni,"67 so that Sag (pl. Sagān) denoted Seistanian (see below). The name Sāka came from a base sak- used of

"power" and of "skill."68 There was no connection with the homonym sak "dog," Zoroastrian Pahlavi and New Persian sag. 69 However, when Islam took root, and the position of the dog (sag) as a revered animal became socially untenable, the term Sagzī (pl. Sagān/Sagzīān) was given a pejorative connotation in folk etymology and came to be applied to the House of Rustam only by their opponents.70 Thus, when a Turanian hero challenges Rustam, "that Sagzī man," the latter protests: "Rustam hath named me Zāl the Zar; Sagzī why callest me, thou unaccomplished man?"⁷¹ And a son of Spandyāδ/Isfandyār pointedly informs Rustam's brother that his father had left instruction "not to battle the Sagān."72 Hence, the appellation Sagzī became unsuitable for Rustam, and was eclipsed by "Zābulī."73

Dnbr74/Dnbūr75/Dnvr76 of the Šāhnama stands for Sanskrit Udyānapūra > Ādynpūr > Dunpūr, "a major city and the seat of Lamghanat, on the right bank of the Kābul."77 Margh-u Māy are not the two localities in Turkistan (cf. Maymurgh) but a rendering of Vav-i hind (better attested in connection with Sam's fief as May-i hind78), from Sanskrit Udabhānda > Ohind, a region situated "between the Indus and the Kabul river, just above their confluence."79 According to Bīrūnī, Vay-hind "was the capital of the kingdom of Gandhāra."80 As daryā meant both "sea" and "river" (cf. Āmū-d. "Oxus" and Sīr-d. "Jaxartes"), "Daryā-yi Sind" stands for the river Sind (i.e., Sind-rūδ) or the Indus, and "Daryā-yi Čīn" for Čīnāb (> Chenab), one of the "Five rivers (Panjāb)," which irrigates Multan. Finally, Qinnauj (> Indian Kanyākubja > Kanauj) was a region on the Ganges well known for its superb cavalry.81

Rustam's kingdom thus extended from the Hāmūn Lake (Zarih) to the Indus. It lay east of the Great Desert (Biyābān-i Karkas), south of Khorasan (running along a line bordering on Bāōgēs®-Bactriana®-Tuxāristān³*), west of the Chenab and the Indus rivers, 85 north of lower Baluchistan and eastern Kirman. 86 This greater Sakastān, incorporating Drangiana/Zarang, Aria/Herat, Arachosia/Ruxxaj (later Zābull), Gandhāra (later Kābull), part of Kashmirs and Hind/Sind, corresponds to "the whole of Sakastān," a name given to the Indo-Scythian kingdom of Maues (early first century B.C.) in an inscription on the Lion Capital of Mathura, 88 and to the later Indo-Parthian kingdom of Gondophares.

4. The House of Rustam

We next turn to Rustam's descent. "My noble origin," he said, is "from the House of Sam (tuxma-yi Sām)."89 Sām's son, Dastān, also called Zāl (= Zar), married Rōdābe, daughter of Sēnduxt90 and "Mihrāb [King of Kābul = Gandhāra], under whom the Land of Sind [Kišwar-i Sind) prospered, and whose fifth ancestor was Zahhāk, most celebrated among kings of the world."91 The union produced two sons: Rustam "strong in body"92 (also called Tahm•tan "strong in body!" and Tāj•baxš "crown bestower"/"coronant"93], and Zavārah (> Uzvārak "heroic").94 Rustam married Tahmine,95 daughter of Samangānšāh,96 and begot Suhrāb97 "the Red one" (var. Surxāb, Surxa, Suxrāy; Old Persian ⊕uxrā; cognate with Sūrēn and sūr "red, fiery").98 He also took to wife Šahr•bānū-Iram, daughter of Gödarz the Kārinid, and begot Farāmarz99 and two daughters, Zar•bānū and Bānū-Guš/n/asp;100 the latter married Gev, son of Godarz, and begot Bēžan. 101 Zavārah had two sons, Tuxār and Farhād;102 Farāmarz also had two, Āzar-burzīn and Sām.103

An interesting account of the House of Sam is given by the Iranian Bundahišn in the chapter "on the races and genealogy" of Iranian traditional kings.104 Sam, it says, had six children in pairs of male and female, each bearing the same name. The leading son was Dastān, to whom Sām gave "Sagān-sēh (= Sakastān) and the region of south (Nēmrōč). And he (Sām) gave the landlordship of Aparshahr to Aparnak. Aparshahr is said to be = Aparnak-shahr (the country of the Aparnak]."105 Another son, Xusrow, received the kingdom of Ray. To the fourth, Margandag, Sam gave the kingdom of Patišxwārgar (i.e., "Parišxwār mountain, later Ṭabaristān). The fifth, Sparnag (Aspandag?) received the rulership of Isfahan (< Aspa•dāna), 106 the sixth, Damnag (?) that of Asōristan (= Babylonia/Mesopotamia). The association of Sam with the Aparnians/Aparni, the royal group of the Dāhi/Dahae (Old Persian Dahā, Avestan Dāha)107 is confirmed108 by a tradition in Țabarī¹⁰⁹ which names Abarnag as the father of Narīmān (= Sāma Kresāspa).

The Bundahišn account must be studied with a second one transmitted by $Tabari^{110}$ as follows:

Bištāsef (Wištāspa) appointed seven persons to the highest ranks, and they were the magnates (c uzimā 3),

[including] Nihābiða, 112 and his residence was Dihistān in the Land of Jurjān [/Hyrcania]; and Kārin the Parthian [Qārin al-Fahlavī], and his residence was Māh-Nihāvand (i.e., Media]; and Sūran the Parthian, and his residence was Sejistān [Sakastān]; and Isfandyāð the Parthian, and his residence was Ray.

Marquart saw in this tradition the memory of a division of the Parthian empire under Vologases I.113 An attempt to describe the major kingdoms of the Arsacid empire114 is, at any rate, clear from both accounts. In the light of this, the tradition which made Rustam the seventh descendant (on his mother's side) of Zahhāk assumes a particular significance. In the Avesta, Aži Dahāka is a formidable dragon who tried to seize the God-given Fortune (Xvarenah) and was vanquished by Oraētaona/Frēdon. This legend developed into that of Zaḥḥāk, a demonic king who ruled Iran for one thousand years until overthrown by Frēdön.115 Yet this very figure enjoyed a favorable reputation in Iranian history. Rustam is clearly proud of his descent from Dahāk,116 as were the Sām Dynasty of Ghūr in the Islamic period;117 the Kushans were said to have descended from Dahāk,118 as were Armenians of a province near Lake Sevas leven though scholastic rationalism identified Aždahak with the homonym Astyages the Mede!);119 and it was Dahāk who had given Patišxwārgar as fief to its local dynast120 of Arsacid lineage,121 and he who had built Nahr-i Tērē, which became "the Parthian prison."122 It appears that Dahāk/Zaḥḥāk was considered to have been the eponymous father of a formidable Iranian people,123 as *Airyaēča < Ērēč > Iraj was of the Airya > Iranians and *Tūr(a)ča > Tūč of the Turanians. Indeed, the Farvardin Yasht (143-44) mentions five divisions of the Iranians: Airva, Tūriva, Sāinu,124 Dāhi and Sairima, Xerxes named the Dāhi among his subjects, next to (other) Saka people; and classical sources testify that the Dahae/Dāhi were powerful Sacians¹²⁵ who formed the kernel of the Arsacid invaders of Parthia and that the Arsacid royal house originated among the Aparni/Parni/Aparnakian Dahae.126 Rustam was thus a Dahaean on his mother's side; and indeed, a "Land of Dahae (> Dihistān)" survived to the Islamic period on the border of Sakastān, as the capital of Bāδgēs.127 If we now turn back to the account of the Bundahišn on the six sons of Sam, and bear in mind that in book Pahlavi the letter h closely resembles mn or mw combined, we cannot fail to recognize in Damnag "King of Asōristan," a misreading for Dahag < Dahāg, whom tradition credited with the founding of Babylon. ¹²⁸

5. Rustam's Dragon Banner

The Parthian origins of Rustam are confirmed by yet another piece of evidence. Reflecting Parthian and Sasanian society, the Śāhnāma consistently associates heroic figures with family emblems adorning their tents and banners.129 Most notably, Gödarz has a golden lion on his banner (dirafš), 130 Gev a wolf, 131 Gorāza (> Varāz, "Boar") a wild boar crowned with a golden moon¹³² and Rustam a dragon.¹³³ His grandfather, Sām, also had a dragon banner, 134 as did Rustam's son, Farāmarz.135 Now, as is well known, silken banners bearing the image of a dragon were typical Parthian military emblems. 136 Indeed, while Sasanian banners were of diverse designs, only that of Bahrām-i Čöbīn, who claimed Arsacid heritage, 137 had a dragon as its device. 138 The exception was explained with reference to Bahrām's "Rustam-like heroism" inducing Hormazd IV to give Rustam's banner to Bahrām.139 A reference to "the family emblem" common to the Parthian Rustam and Arsacid Bahrām would have been to the point.

6. Chronology of the House of Rustam

The end of the princely house of Sakastān as given by the traditional history also imparts a historical clue. It claims that Bahman, son of Spandyā8, avenged his father's death at the hand of Rustam by invading Sakastān with an "Iranian army,"140 vanquishing the house of Rustam (in one version, even killing Rustam himself, see above) and devastating Sakastān and Zābulistān. 141 Bahman's epithet was Ardašēr; 142 and as a matter of fact it was Ardašēr, son of Pāpak, who overthrew the Parthian rulers of east Iran "and conquered Sakistān."143 This province remained a prominent vassal kingdom ruled by Sasanian princes.144 The memory of the action of the Sasanian Ardašēr was thus transferred to the Kayānid Bahman-Ardašēr. 145

This provides a terminus ante quem for the downfall of the descendants of Rustam. Since

the division of his kingdom by Sām represents Sakastām and its neighboring regions as well as Asōristān as vassals of a great imperial system, the terminus ante quem must necessarily be after Mithridates the Great's conquests, namely, around 90 B.C. The involvement of the Kushans, the marriage of Rustam to a daughter of Gōdarz (i.e., Gotarzes II, ca. A.D. 38–51), and the evidence of the sumame Sām assumed by Gondophares all point to the first half of the first century A.D. as the probable date of the Parthian prince of Sakastān whom the epic tradition remembered as Rustam.

Notes

- 1. "Gondophares and the Shāhnāma," IA 16 (1981), pp. 141–50; "The Political History of Iran under the Arsacids," in *CHII*, vol. 3.1 (1983), pp. 21–99, esp. 50–51.
- 2. Further details on Sām, Zāl, and Farāmarz will appear elsewhere.
- 3. J. Marquart, "Beitrage zur Geschichte und sage von Erän," ZDMG 49 (1895), pp. 628-72. Th. Nöldeke, "Das iranisches. Nationalepos," in Grundriss der iranischen Philologie, vol. 2 [Strassburg, 1896], pp. 130-211; 2d ed. Das iranisches Nationalepos (Leipzig, 1920), pp. 6-9. All references are to this second, separate edition.
- 4. On which see A. Sh. Shahbazi, "On the Xwadāy-nāmag," in Iranica Varia: Papers in Honor of Professor Ehsan Yarshater, Actlr 30 (Leiden, 1990), pp. 208–29.
- 5. See A. Sh. Shahbazi, Ferdowsī: A Critical Biography (Costa Mesa, Calif., 1991), pp. 108-17.
- 6. Šāhnāma (Moscow ed.), vol. 2, pp. 212ff., vol. 4, pp. 41ff.; vol. 5, pp. 188-206; The Epic Histories Attributed to P'awstos Buzand, trans. N. G. Garsoïan (Cambridge, Mass., 1989), pp. 73, 108, 150, 185, 226. See in general N. G. Garsoïan, "Prolegomena to a Study of the Iranian Aspects in Arsacid Armenia," Handes Amorya 90 (1976), pp. 178-234.
 - 7. Šāhnāma, vol. 4, pp. 41ff. and passim.
- 8. Marquart, "Beiträge," pp. 635-45; idem, "Iberer und Hyrkanier," Caucasia 8 [1931], pp. 78-113, esp. 85-98; Nõldeke, "Das iranisches Nationalepos," pp. 6-9. See further Z. Safa, Hamäsa sarā dar Irān, 2d ed. [Tehran, 1333/1954], pp. 575-88; J. C. Coyajee, "The House of Gotarzes: A Chapter of Parthian History in the Shahnamah," Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 28 [1932], 207-24; E. Yarshater, "Iranian National History," CHIr, vol. 3.1 [1983], pp. 395-477 esp. 457-61.
- 9. J. Marquart, Die Chronologie der alttürkischen Inschriften (Leipzig, 1898), pp. 67-68.

- 10. On this family see W. B. Henning, "Notes on the Great Inscription of Šāpūr I," *Professor Jackson Memorial Volume* (Bombay, 1954), pp. 40–54 esp. 50.
 - 11. Šāhnāma, vol. 4, p. 136, v. 335.
 - 12. Ibid., pp. 162ff.
 - 13. *Ibid.*, pp. 188ff.
- 14. A. Christensen, L'Iran sous les Sassanides, 2d rev. ed. (Copenhagen, 1944), pp. 103-6; Garsoïan, "Prolegomena," passim.
- 15. Gōdarz addresses Rustam: "You are the support of Iran, the crown of leaders, the worthy one before whom we are all subordinates," Sāhnāma, vol. 4, p. 276, v. 1049. Similar descriptions in *ibid.*, vol. 1, p. 181, v. 711; p. 218, v. 1246; p. 195, v. 322; p. 202, v. 397; vol. 4, p. 157, vv. 637, 643, etc.
- 16. As is evidenced by the eighth-century Sogdian fragment describing Rustam's encounter with some devs: E. Benveniste, *Textes sogdiens* (Paris, 1940), pp. 134ff.: Yarshater, "Iranian National History," p. 456, with literature.
- 17. Moses Khorenats^ci, History of the Armenians, trans. R. W. Thompson (Cambridge, Mass., 1978], 2.8 (= p. 141]: "The Persians say that Rostom Sagdjik had the strength of 120 elephants."
- 18. Murūj al-dhahab (Paris, 1861–77), vol. 2, p. 118.

 19. Following Safa's amendation [Ḥamāsa satāʾī dar Īrān, pp. 45–46] in preference to that of the editor
- as Sakasarān "Leaders of the Sakas."

 20. This version is confirmed by Tabarī (Leiden, 1879), vol. 1, p. 687, while the Śāhnāma (vol. 6, pp. 322–37) and Tha alibi (Ghurar akhbār mulūk al-Furs, ed. and trans. H. Zotenberg [Paris, 1900], 379ff.) say that Rustam's half-brother, Šarāē, murdered him through treachery. Dinawarī (Akhbār al-Tiwāl, ed. V. Guirgass [Leiden, 1888] p. 28] states that soon after Isfandyās's death, Rustam died of the wounds he had
- 21. P^cawstos Buzand 6.6 (= p. 124); H. W. Bailey, BSOAS 8 (1937), p. 1154.

received from the former.

- 22. Safa, Hamāsa sarā'ī dar Irān, pp. 566-67; G. Widengren, Iranisch-Semitisch Kulturbegegnung in partischer Zeit (Köln, 1960), p. 35, n. 117. "Rötastahm" also appears in the Ayātkār ī Zarēran §16 (F. Justi, Iranische Namenbuch [Marburg, 1895], p. 263; H. W. Bailey, "Khotanese Saka Literature," CHIr, vol. 3.2, pp. 1231-32) but here it "probably means 'hero' in general"; W. Geiger, "Das Yātkāri-Zarīrān und seine Verhältnis zum Šāhnāma," Sitzungsberichte der K. bayer. Akad., philos-philol. Klasse [1890], pp. 48-84, esp. 51.
- 23. Against the evidence of the *Draxt î Asūrīk* see A. Christensen, *Les Kayanids* (Copenhagen, 1931), p. 138, n. 2.
- 24. E.g., S. Wikander, "Sur le fonds commun indoiranien des époées de la Perse et de l'Inde," La novelle Clio 2 (1950), pp. 310-29. G. Hüsing's Beiträge zur

Rostahmsage (Sajjid Baţţāl), (Leipzig, 1913) cannot be taken seriously.

25. This will be discussed in detail elsewhere.

26. CHIr, vol. 3.1, p. 51.

27. "Beitrage," pp. 635ff.

28. Țabarī, vol. 1, p. 683. See also below.

29. Plutarch, Crassus 21; Tacitus, Annals 6.42; Garsoïan, "Prolegomena," pp. 210-12.

30. See the list in Justi, Iranische Namenbuch, pp. 316-17.

31. On whom see Bivar, "The Political History of Iran under the Arsacids," pp. 73-74.

32. Annals 6.31.3; 36.3.

33. Ibid., 6.37.5-6.

34. Ibid., 6.42.6.

35. Ibid., 6.43.2. 36. Ibid., 6.43.4.

37. "Beitrage," pp. 636-37.

38. Here Marquart referred to A. Cunningham, "Coins of the Sakas," NC (1890), pp. 117-20, 158-65.

39. "Beitrage," p. 636, n. 6.

40. For this inscription see now Bivar, "The History of Eastern Iran," in CHIr, vol. 3.1, pp. 222, no. 14 (not 12 as printed on p. 197, n. 1).

41. "Beitrage," p. 643.

42. Ibid.

43. On the forms of Rustam's name see Bailey, "Khotanese Saka Literature," pp. 1231-32.

44. C. Bartholomae, Altiranisches Wörterbuch (Strassburg, 1904), col. 1053.

Das iranische Nationalepos, p. 10.

46. Ibid. Against the tracing of Rustam's name in Elamite tablets of Persepolis see W. Hinz, Altiranisches Sprachgut der Nebenüberlieferungen (Wiesbaden, 1975), p. 200, with references.

47. Contrast E. Yarshater, "Iranian National History," p. 456.

Christensen, Les Kayanides, pp. 135–37.

49. E. Herzfeld, AMI 4 (1931-1932), pp. 91ff.; Idem, Archaeological History of Iran (London, 1935), pp. 57, 66.

50. AMI 4 (1932), p. 114.

51. CHIr, vol. 3.1, p. 51.

52. Ibid., and more fully in "Gondophares and the Shāhnāma," pp. 142-45.

53. "Gondophares and the Shāhnāma," pp. 146-50.

54. Ibid., p. 150, B. Sarkārātī, "Rustam, yak šaxsiyat-i tārīxī yā ustūra i?," Majalla-yi dāniškad-yi addabiyyāt va ^cūlūm-i Dānišgāh-i Firdausī 12 (1345/1976), pp. 161ff., had dismissed attempts to determine a link between Rustam and history as scholastic fantasies.

55. Šāhnāma, vol. 2, p. 32, v. 396; p. 72, vv. 159-61; p. 125, vv. 890-900; vol. 4, p. 30, vv. 351-56; vol. 6, p. 133, v. 983; p. 224, v. 106; p. 403, vv. 2845-51.

56. Ibid., vol. 2, p. 72 v. 161. Cf. vol. 1, p. 155, vv. 290, 299,

57. Even as a child, he wore a crown: ibid., vol. 1, p. 243, v. 1567.

58. See references given in n. 55; and, in general, G. Widengren, Der Feudalismus im alten Iran (Köln, 1969), pp. 21-23. On Rustam's banner see below.

Šāhnāma, vol. 1, pp. 150–51, vv. 215–28.

60. Ibid., vol. 2, p. 72, vv. 159-61.

61. Ibid., vol. 2, p. 125, vv. 890ff.

62. Ibid., vol. 4, p. 30, vv. 350ff.

63. See nn. 55-56, and ibid., vol. 4, p. 29, v. 344; vol. 5, pp. 385, 390, 391; vol. 6, p. 257.

64. Ibid., vol. 2, p. 171, v. 18.

 J. Marquart, Ērānšahr (Berlin, 1901), pp. 39-40; and with J. J. M. de Groot, "Das Reich Zābul und der Gott Žun vom 6.-9. Jahrhundert," in Festschrift Eduard Sachau (Berlin, 1915), pp. 248-92, esp. pp. 260-61.

66. Marquart, Ērānšahr, pp. 250ff.; "Das Reich

Zābul," pp. 257-59.

67. R. V. Stackelberg, "Iranica," ZDMG 45 (1891), pp. 620-21; H. W. Bailey, The Culture of the Sakas in Ancient Iranian Khotan (Delmar, N.Y., 1982), pp. 8-9.

68. Bailey, ibid., p. 9.

69. Ibid., p. 20.

70. Stackelberg, "Iranica," p. 620.

71. Šāhnāma, vol. 4, p. 243, v. 530.

72. Ibid., vol. 6, p. 282, v. 1065. Cf. "That Sagzī-ī, Rustam," ibid., vol. 2, p. 139, v. 342; "You! the Sagzī, worse than all others," ibid., vol. 4, p. 251, v. 648. See also vol. 4, p. 199, v. 1337; p. 229, v. 313; p. 243, vv. 528, 534; p. 245, v. 561; p. 248, v. 598; vol. 6, p. 282, v. 1063; p. 284, vv. 1093f.; p. 285, v. 1102; p. 301, v. 1337; p. 304, v. 1384.

73. Ibid., vol. 4, p. 220, v. 186; also p. 217, v. 141; p. 227, v. 282; p. 228 v. 298 and passim. Cf. Marquart, "Beiträge," p. 643.

74. Šāhnāma, vol. 1, p. 151, v. 225; p. 155, v. 291; vol. 5, p. 390, v. 2612.

75. Ibid., vol. 5, p. 385, v. 2528.

76. Ibid., vol. 5, p. 391, v. 2624.

77. V. Minorsky, Hudūd al-Ālam, 2d ed. (Cambridge, 1982), p. 252.

78. Ibid., p. 252, n. 7.

79. Ibid., pp. 253-54. 80. India, p. 101 cited in ibid., p. 254.

81. Ibid., pp. 238, 246-47; Marquart, Ērānšahr,

82. This is to be inferred from the position of Samangan (see above with n. 64).

83. Note that when Guštāsp desired to visit Rustam, "he left Balx for Zābulistān": Šāhnāma, vol. 6, p. 134, v. 1000.

84. As the position of ancient Gandhara/Kābul, south of Tuxāristān, shows.

85. See ibid., vol. 1, p. 151, v. 225; vol. 2, p. 72, v. 159; vol. 4, p. 30, v. 35.

86. This is inferred from Rustam's overthrow of a troublesome neighbor, Kuk-i Kuhzād, leader of the Afghans and Baluchīs, in an early and soundly based epic, see Safa, Hamāsa sarā ā dar Iran, pp. 318-22. The name Kuk reminds one of Kūk, a town in the district of Khabīs in Kirmān mentioned by Muqaddasī, later Gok [P. Schwarz, Iran im Mittelalter, vol. 2 [Leipzig 1912], p. 227]. Also the limit of Rustam's kingdom is specified as inclusive of "Zābulistan, Bust, Gaznīn and Kabulistan," Šāhnāma, vol. 6, p. 224, v. 106, cf. vol. 1, p. 151, vv. 224-26.

87. *Ibid.*, vol. 3, p. 170, v. 2651; vol. 4, p. 29, v. 344. 88. Marquart, $\bar{E}r\bar{a}n\check{s}ahr$, p. 46; Bivar, *CHIr*, vol.

88. Marquart, *Eransah*r, p. 46; Bivar, *CHII*r, vol. 3.1, p. 195.

89. Šāhnāma, vol. 6, p. 255, v. 618.

90. In the Avesta, Saēna "eagle" is the name of an Iranian family (Yašt 13.126)—just as Sāma. It recurs in such Parthian names as Sinnaces, Sanabares, Sinatruces/Sanatruk, and much later, as the name of the father of Abū ʿAlū Ṣinā.

91. Šāhnāma, vol. 6, p. 257, vv. 660-61.

92. Bailey, CHIr, vol. 3.2, pp. 1231-32.

93. Šāhnāma, vol. 2, p. 104, v. 533; p. 171, v. 20; p. 172, v. 40; p. 200, v. 388; vol. 4, p. 253, v. 694; vol. 6, p. 225, v. 123; p. 296, v. 1271. The term is to be explained with reference to Rustam's instrumental role in crowning Kay Qubād and Bahman, cf. vol. 2, pp. 56-61; vol. 6, p. 350, v. 136.

94. Justi, Iranische Namenbuch, p. 337.

95. Note the connection with *Tahm.tan*, parallel to those of Rustam and Rūdābe.

96. Šāhnāma, vol. 1, pp. 172-76.

97. Ibid., p. 177.

98. For etymologies and cognates see Justi, Iranische Namenbuch, pp. 312-13.

99. According to an interpolated passage of the Sāhnāma, vol. 2, pp. 258–59. This is from the epic Farāmarznāma, ed. Rustam son of Bahrām-Sorūš [Bombay, 1907], p. 364, line 14.

100. Farāmarznāma, pp. 25ff. See also Mujmal al-Tawārīx wa al-qiṣaṣ, ed. M.-T. Bahār (Tehran, 1318/ 1939), p. 54.

101. Farāmarznāma, pp. 59-75. See also n. 99.

102. Īrānšāh b. Abi al-Xayr, *Bahmannāma*, ed. R. ʿAfīfī (Tehran, 1991), pp. 210ff.

103. Ibid., pp. 413ff.; Farāmarznāma, pp. 407ff.

104. Zand-Ākāsīh, trans. B. T. Anklesaria (Bom-

bay, 1956), pp. 298-301.

105. This is J. Markwart's translation in A Catalogue of the Provincial Capitals of Ērānshahr, ed. G. Messina [Rome, 1931], p. 52. See also Christensen, Les Kayanides, p. 67; E. Honigmann and A. Maricq, Recherches sur les Res Gestae Divi Saporis (Brussels, 1953), p. 42, n. 2; p. 175.

106. Marquart, Ērānšahr, p. 27.

107. On the names see in details H. W. Bailey, "Iranian Arya- and Daha-," Transactions of the Philological Society (1959), pp. 71-115 esp. pp. 107ft;, Dictionary of Khotan Saka (Cambridge, 1979), p. 155.

108. The objections by P. Lecoq, "Aparna," in EIr, vol. 2, p. 151, were unfounded and contrary to the evidence of Tabari [see n. 109].

109. Ṭabarī, vol. 1, p. 598, with Christensen, Les Kayanides, p. 131, n. 2.

110. Țabarī, vol. 1, p. 683.

111. See Marquart, "Beiträge," pp. 635ff.

112. On this name see H. W. Bailey, "Iranian Medley," in Orientalia J. Duchesne-Guillemin Emerito Oblata, ActIr 23 (Leiden, 1984), p. 41 with reference.

113. "Beiträge," p. 637.

114. "The Parthians possess altogether eighteen kingdoms," Pliny, Natural History 6.29.1.

115. Details and references in L. Gray, The Foundations of the Iranian Religions (Bombay, 1922), pp. 187–91; M. Boyce, A History of Zoroastrianism, vol. 1 (Leiden, 1975), pp. 67, 91, 98, 100, 103, 283, 289, 293; P. O. Skjærvø, "Aždahā," in EIr, vol. 3 (1989), pp. 191–99.

116. Šāhnāma, vol. 6, p. 257.

117. Minhāj Sarrāj, *Ṭabaqāt-i Nāṣirī*, ed. ^cA.-H. Ḥabībī (Tehran, 1974), vol. 1, pp. 318–23; Minorsky, Ḥudūd, p. 174.

118. Evidenced by the story of Kūš, nephew of Zaḥ-hāk and founder of Šahr-i Kūšan [= Kūšānšahr], composed in an epic by Īrānšāh son of Abu al-Xayr; Safa, Hamāsa sarā'ī dar Iran, pp. 296–300; cf. The Mujmal-al-Tawārīx, pp. 89, 187, 189.

119. Moses Khorenats^ci, *History of Armenia*, 2.49 (= p. 190).

120. Marquart (Markwart), Provincial Capitals, p. 18.

121. Idem, Ērānšahr, p. 130.

122. Idem, Provincial Capitals, p. 20.

123. Cf. Moses Khorenats^ci, *History of Armenia*, p. 127: "The one they [= the Persians, i.e., *some* Iranians] call Biurasp Azhdahak was their ancestor."

124. Sāinu, possibly an adjective of Sinu-, equivalent to Sindu Gk, Sindoi, near the Sarmatai country: Bailey, Dictionary, p. 155.

125. Bailey, "Iranian Arya- and Daha-," pp. 71-115.

126. Bivar, CHIr, vol. 3.1, pp. 26-29.

127. G. Le Strange, The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate (Cambridge, 1935), pp. 414-15.

128. Markwart, Provincial Capitals, p. 66.

129. A. Sh. Shahbazi, "Derafš," in Elr, vol. 7 (forthcoming).

130. Šāhnāma, vol. 2, p. 213, vv. 556ff.; vol. 4, p. 27, v. 300; p. 43, v. 527.

131. *Ibid.*, vol. 2, p. 214, vv. 577ff.; vol. 4, p. 27, v. 304; p. 43, v. 526.

132. *Ibid.*, vol. 2, p. 215, v. 588; vol. 4, p. 29, v. 324; p. 42, v. 524.

133. *Ibid.*, vol. 2, p. 214, v. 567; vol. 4, p. 170, v. 860, p. 177, v. 1113; p. 189, v. 1177; p. 282, v.1139; *Farāmarznāma*, p. 22, line 17; p. 23, line 6. Widengren, *Feudalismus*, p. 17, n. 35.

134. Widengren, loc. cit., citing Garšāspnāma.

S H A H B A Z I: Parthian Origins of the House of Rustam

- 135. Šāhnāma, vol. 4, p. 29, v. 345; p. 30, v. 351. 136. Widengren, Feudalismus, pp. 17-18 with references.
- 137. See more recently A. Sh. Shahbazi, "Bahram VI Čôbīn," in EIr, vol. 3, pp. 519–22. 138. Šāhnāma, vol. 8, p. 345, vv. 508–9; vol. 9,
- p. 33, v. 382.
 - 139. Ibid., vol. 8, p. 345, vv. 511-14.
 - 140. Ibid., vol. 6, p. 348, v. 9.
- 141. Ibid., pp. 343-51; Bahmanāma, pp. 191-340 (cf. Farāmarznāma, pp. 408-50).
- 142. Christensen, Les Kayanides, pp. 98, 124.
- 143. Tabari, vol. 1, p. 819.
- 144. Honigmann-Maricq, Recherches sur le Res Gestae Divi Saporis, pp. 94ff.
- 145. Similarly a town near later Başra founded by Ardašēr-i Pāpakān was called Bahman-Ardašēr Xarrah (< Bahmanšēr): Ibn al-Faqīh, Mukhtaṣar Kitāb al-Buldān (Leiden, 1885), p. 198; Mujmal al-Tawārīx, p. 54.